

checked by JT  
on 10/12/16

# CETIFICATION

SDG No: JC27137 Laboratory: Accutest, New Jersey  
Site: BMS, Building 5 Area, PR Matrix: Groundwater  
Humacao, PR

**SUMMARY:** Groundwater samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – Building 5 Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken September 02-06, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Dayton, New Jersey for the ABN TCL Special List (1,4-Dioxane and Naphthalene were analyzed following the SIM technique); TCL pesticides list; and for low molecular weight alcohols (LMWA) the results were reported under SDG No.: JC27137. Results were validated using the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
JC27137-1	MW-5	Groundwater	ABN TCL special list; 1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM); LMWA
JC27137-2	MW-17	Groundwater	ABN TCL special list; 1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM); LMWA
JC27137-3	MW-17D	Groundwater	ABN TCL special list; 1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM); LMWA
JC27137-4	UP-2	Groundwater	ABN TCL special list; 1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM); LMWA; Pesticides TCL list
JC27137-5	UP-2D	Groundwater	ABN TCL special list; 1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM); LMWA; Pesticides TCL list
JC27137-6	UP-1	Groundwater	ABN TCL special list; 1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM); Pesticides TCL list; LMWA
JC27137-7	EB-090616	AQ-Equipment Blank	ABN TCL special list; 1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM); Pesticides TCL list; LMWA
JC27137-8	S-29R	Groundwater	ABN TCL special list; 1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM); LMWA; Pesticides TCL list
JC27137-9	S-31R(2)	Groundwater	ABN TCL special list; 1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM); LMWA; Pesticides TCL list

Reviewer Name: Rafael Infante  
Chemist License 1888

Signature:  
Date:

October 3, 2016



## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID:	MW-5	Date Sampled:	09/02/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-1	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	P107416.D	1	09/10/16	SB	09/08/16	OP96892	EP4755
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	990 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	5.1	0.83	ug/l	
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	5.1	0.90	ug/l	
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.0	1.3	ug/l	
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	5.1	2.5	ug/l	
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	10	1.6	ug/l	
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	5.1	1.3	ug/l	
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.0	0.90	ug/l	
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.0	0.89	ug/l	
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	5.1	0.97	ug/l	
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	10	1.2	ug/l	
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	4.0	1.4	ug/l	
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.0	0.40	ug/l	
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	5.1	1.5	ug/l	
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.1	1.3	ug/l	
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.1	0.93	ug/l	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.0	0.19	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.0	0.14	ug/l	
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.0	0.21	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.0	0.45	ug/l	
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	5.1	0.29	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.0	0.34	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.0	0.41	ug/l	
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.46	ug/l	
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.0	0.24	ug/l	
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	0.60	5.1	0.34	ug/l	
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.0	0.23	ug/l	



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 2 of 3

Client Sample ID: MW-5  
 Lab Sample ID: JC27137-1  
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water  
 Method: SW846 8270D SW846 3510C  
 Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 09/02/16  
 Date Received: 09/07/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.0	0.66	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.0	0.28	ug/l	
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.0	0.25	ug/l	
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.0	0.41	ug/l	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.0	0.37	ug/l	
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.0	0.56	ug/l	
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.0	0.48	ug/l	
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.0	0.51	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.0	0.33	ug/l	
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	5.1	0.22	ug/l	
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.50	ug/l	
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.24	ug/l	
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.26	ug/l	
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.22	ug/l	
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	2.0	1.7	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.17	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.0	0.17	ug/l	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.0	0.33	ug/l	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	0.50	ug/l	
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	10	2.8	ug/l	
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.0	0.39	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.0	0.34	ug/l	
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.0	0.28	ug/l	
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	0.49	1.0	0.27	ug/l	J
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	5.1	0.28	ug/l	
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	5.1	0.39	ug/l	
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	5.1	0.44	ug/l	
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.0	0.65	ug/l	
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.0	0.49	ug/l	
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	5.1	0.22	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.0	0.37	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	48%		14-88%
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	33%		10-110%

ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



## Report of Analysis

Page 3 of 3

Client Sample ID: MW-5  
Lab Sample ID: JC27137-1  
Matrix: AQ - Ground Water  
Method: SW846 8270D SW846 3510C  
Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 09/02/16  
Date Received: 09/07/16  
Percent Solids: n/a

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	95%		39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	89%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	85%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	79%		10-126%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
RL = Reporting Limit  
E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: MW-5  
 Lab Sample ID: JC27137-1  
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water  
 Method: SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C  
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 09/02/16  
 Date Received: 09/07/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	3M64138.D	1	09/12/16	SG	09/08/16	OP96892A	E3M3048
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	990 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
91-20-3	Naphthalene	0.729	0.10	0.030	ug/l	
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	0.760	0.10	0.049	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	82%		24-125%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	80%		19-127%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	70%		10-119%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: MW-5  
 Lab Sample ID: JC27137-1  
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water  
 Method: SW846-8015C (DAI)  
 Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 09/02/16  
 Date Received: 09/07/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	GH106349.D	1	09/08/16	XPL	n/a	n/a	GGH5488
Run #2							

## Low Molecular Alcohol List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	100	55	ug/l	
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	100	36	ug/l	
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	100	68	ug/l	
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	100	43	ug/l	
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	87	ug/l	
78-92-2	sec-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	66	ug/l	
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	200	71	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
111-27-3	Hexanol	78%		56-145%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID: MW-17  
 Lab Sample ID: JC27137-2  
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water  
 Method: SW846 8270D SW846 3510C  
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 09/02/16  
 Date Received: 09/07/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	2P62859.D	1	09/20/16	RL	09/08/16	OP96892	E2P2759
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	990 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	5.1	0.83	ug/l	
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	5.1	0.90	ug/l	
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.0	1.3	ug/l	
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	5.1	2.5	ug/l	
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	10	1.6	ug/l	
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	5.1	1.3	ug/l	
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.0	0.90	ug/l	
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.0	0.89	ug/l	
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	5.1	0.97	ug/l	
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	10	1.2	ug/l	
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	4.0	1.4	ug/l	
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.0	0.40	ug/l	
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	5.1	1.5	ug/l	
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.1	1.3	ug/l	
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.1	0.93	ug/l	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.0	0.19	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.0	0.14	ug/l	
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.0	0.21	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.0	0.45	ug/l	
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	5.1	0.29	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.0	0.34	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.0	0.41	ug/l	
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.46	ug/l	
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.0	0.24	ug/l	
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	5.1	0.34	ug/l	
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.0	0.23	ug/l	

ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



## Report of Analysis

Page 2 of 3

Client Sample ID: MW-17  
 Lab Sample ID: JC27137-2  
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water  
 Method: SW846 8270D SW846 3510C  
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 09/02/16  
 Date Received: 09/07/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

4.2  
4

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.0	0.66	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.0	0.28	ug/l	
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.0	0.25	ug/l	
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.0	0.41	ug/l	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.0	0.37	ug/l	
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.0	0.56	ug/l	
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.0	0.48	ug/l	
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.0	0.51	ug/l	
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	6.2	1.0	0.66	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.0	0.33	ug/l	
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	5.1	0.22	ug/l	
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.50	ug/l	
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.24	ug/l	
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.26	ug/l	
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.22	ug/l	
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	2.0	1.7	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.17	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.0	0.17	ug/l	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.0	0.33	ug/l	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	0.50	ug/l	
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	10	2.8	ug/l	
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.0	0.39	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.0	0.34	ug/l	
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.0	0.28	ug/l	
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.0	0.27	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	5.1	0.28	ug/l	
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	5.1	0.39	ug/l	
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	5.1	0.44	ug/l	
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.0	0.65	ug/l	
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.0	0.49	ug/l	
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	5.1	0.22	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.0	0.37	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	41%		14-88%

ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



## Report of Analysis

Page 3 of 3

Client Sample ID:	MW-17	Date Sampled:	09/02/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-2	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	30%		10-110%
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	85%		39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	73%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	75%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	73%		10-126%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
RL = Reporting Limit  
E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-17	Date Sampled:	09/02/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-2	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	3M64139.D	1	09/12/16	SG	09/08/16	OP96892A	E3M3048
Run #2							

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	990 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.10	0.030	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	79%		24-125%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	76%		19-127%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	59%		10-119%



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-17	Date Sampled:	09/02/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-2	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846-8015C (DAI)		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	GH106352.D	1	09/08/16	XPL	n/a	n/a	GGH5488
Run #2							

## Low Molecular Alcohol List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	100	55	ug/l	
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	100	36	ug/l	
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	100	68	ug/l	
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	100	43	ug/l	
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	87	ug/l	
78-92-2	sec-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	66	ug/l	
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	200	71	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
111-27-3	Hexanol	106%		56-145%



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID:	MW-17D	Date Sampled:	09/02/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-3	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	2P62860.D	1	09/20/16	RL	09/08/16	OP96892	E2P2759
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	970 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

## AEN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	5.2	0.85	ug/l	
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	5.2	0.92	ug/l	
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.1	1.3	ug/l	
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	5.2	2.5	ug/l	
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	10	1.6	ug/l	
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	5.2	1.3	ug/l	
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.1	0.92	ug/l	
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.1	0.91	ug/l	
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	5.2	0.99	ug/l	
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	10	1.2	ug/l	
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	4.1	1.4	ug/l	
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.1	0.40	ug/l	
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	5.2	1.5	ug/l	
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.2	1.4	ug/l	
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.2	0.95	ug/l	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.0	0.20	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.0	0.14	ug/l	
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.1	0.21	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.1	0.46	ug/l	
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	5.2	0.30	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.0	0.35	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.1	0.42	ug/l	
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.47	ug/l	
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.1	0.24	ug/l	
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	5.2	0.35	ug/l	
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.0	0.24	ug/l	

ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



## Report of Analysis

Page 2 of 3

Client Sample ID:	MW-17D	Date Sampled:	09/02/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-3	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.1	0.67	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.1	0.29	ug/l	
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.1	0.26	ug/l	
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.1	0.42	ug/l	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.1	0.38	ug/l	
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.0	0.57	ug/l	
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.0	0.49	ug/l	
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.1	0.52	ug/l	
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	6.1	1.0	0.68	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.0	0.34	ug/l	
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	5.2	0.23	ug/l	
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.51	ug/l	
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.24	ug/l	
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.27	ug/l	
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.22	ug/l	
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	4.4	2.1	1.7	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.0	0.34	ug/l	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	0.51	ug/l	
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	10	2.9	ug/l	
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.1	0.40	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.0	0.34	ug/l	
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.1	0.29	ug/l	
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.0	0.27	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	5.2	0.29	ug/l	
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	5.2	0.40	ug/l	
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	5.2	0.45	ug/l	
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.1	0.66	ug/l	
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.1	0.50	ug/l	
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	5.2	0.23	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.0	0.23	ug/l	
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.1	0.38	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	38%		14-88%

ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



## Report of Analysis

Page 3 of 3

Client Sample ID:	MW-17D	Date Sampled:	09/02/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-3	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	27%		10-110%
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	90%		39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	64%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	69%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	81%		10-126%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
RL = Reporting Limit  
E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-17D	Date Sampled:	09/02/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-3	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	3M64234.D	1	09/15/16	SG	09/08/16	OP96892A	E3M3053
Run #2							

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	970 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.10	0.030	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	58%		24-125%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	61%		19-127%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	63%		10-119%



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	MW-17D	Date Sampled:	09/02/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-3	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846-8015C (DAI)		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #1	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #2	GH106353.D	1	09/08/16	XPL	n/a	n/a	GGH5488

## Low Molecular Alcohol List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	100	55	ug/l	
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	100	36	ug/l	
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	100	68	ug/l	
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	100	43	ug/l	
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	87	ug/l	
78-92-2	sec-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	66	ug/l	
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	200	71	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
111-27-3	Hexanol	106%		56-145%



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID:	UP-2	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-4	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	P107530.D	1	09/14/16	JJ	09/09/16	OP96907	EP4760
Run #2	2M87253.D	5	09/20/16	AN	09/09/16	OP96907	E2M3871

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	950 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2	950 ml	1.0 ml

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	5.3	0.86	ug/l	
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	5.3	0.94	ug/l	
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.1	1.3	ug/l	
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	5.3	2.6	ug/l	
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	11	1.6	ug/l	
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	5.3	1.4	ug/l	
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.1	0.93	ug/l	
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.1	0.93	ug/l	
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	5.3	1.0	ug/l	
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	11	1.2	ug/l	
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	4.2	1.5	ug/l	
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.1	0.41	ug/l	
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	5.3	1.5	ug/l	
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.3	1.4	ug/l	
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.3	0.97	ug/l	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.1	0.20	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.1	0.14	ug/l	
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.1	0.22	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.1	0.47	ug/l	
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	5.3	0.30	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	1.1	0.21	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.1	0.36	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.1	0.43	ug/l	
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.48	ug/l	
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.1	0.25	ug/l	
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	5.3	0.36	ug/l	
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.1	0.24	ug/l	



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 2 of 3

Client Sample ID:	UP-2	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-4	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.1	0.68	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.1	0.19	ug/l	
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.1	0.29	ug/l	
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.1	0.26	ug/l	
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.1	0.42	ug/l	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.1	0.39	ug/l	
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.1	0.58	ug/l	
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.1	0.50	ug/l	
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.1	0.53	ug/l	
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	328 <sup>a</sup>	5.3	3.5	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.1	0.35	ug/l	
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	5.3	0.23	ug/l	
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.52	ug/l	
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.25	ug/l	
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.28	ug/l	
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.23	ug/l	
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	2.1	1.7	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.18	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.1	0.18	ug/l	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.1	0.34	ug/l	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.1	0.52	ug/l	
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	11	2.9	ug/l	
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.1	0.41	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.1	0.35	ug/l	
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.1	0.29	ug/l	
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.1	0.28	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	5.3	0.29	ug/l	
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	5.3	0.41	ug/l	
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	5.3	0.46	ug/l	
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.1	0.68	ug/l	
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.1	0.51	ug/l	
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	5.3	0.23	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.1	0.18	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l	
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.1	0.39	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	43%	40%	14-88%

ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



## Report of Analysis

Page 3 of 3

Client Sample ID:	UP-2	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-4	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	35%	25%	10-110%
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	90%	70%	39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	90%	65%	32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	82%	72%	35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	77%	84%	10-126%

(a) Result is from Run# 2



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
RL = Reporting Limit  
E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	UP-2	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-4	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	4P18640.D	1	09/12/16	SG	09/09/16	OP96907A	E4P1006
Run #2							

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	950 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.11	0.031	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	86%		24-125%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	58%		19-127%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	76%		10-119%



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	UP-2	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-4	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846-8015C (DAI)		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	GH106354.D	1	09/08/16	XPL	n/a	n/a	GGH5488
Run #2							

## Low Molecular Alcohol List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	100	55	ug/l	
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	100	36	ug/l	
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	100	68	ug/l	
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	100	43	ug/l	
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	87	ug/l	
78-92-2	sec-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	66	ug/l	
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	200	71	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
111-27-3	Hexanol	106%		56-145%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	UP-2	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-4	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8081B SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1 <sup>a</sup>	1G127313.D	1	09/16/16	KD	09/09/16	OP96898	G1G4086
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	1000 ml	5.0 ml
Run #2		

## Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.0050	0.0030	ug/l	
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.0050	0.0030	ug/l	
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.0050	0.0028	ug/l	
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.0050	0.0023	ug/l	
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.0050	0.0014	ug/l	
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.0050	0.0023	ug/l	
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.0050	0.0023	ug/l	
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.0050	0.0018	ug/l	
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.0050	0.0019	ug/l	
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.0050	0.0031	ug/l	
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.0050	0.0025	ug/l	
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.0050	0.0025	ug/l	
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.0050	0.0026	ug/l	
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.0050	0.0026	ug/l	
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.0050	0.0025	ug/l	
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.0050	0.0025	ug/l	
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.0050	0.0021	ug/l	
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.0050	0.0019	ug/l	
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.0050	0.0033	ug/l	
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	0.010	0.0028	ug/l	
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	0.13	0.092	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	63%		26-132%
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	66%		26-132%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	61%		10-118%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	54%		10-118%

(a) There is no additional sample for re-extraction.

ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID:	UP-2D	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-5	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	P107531.D	1	09/14/16	JJ	09/09/16	OP96907	EP4760
Run #2	2M87254.D	5	09/20/16	AN	09/09/16	OP96907	E2M3871

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	990 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2	990 ml	1.0 ml

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	5.1	0.83	ug/l	
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	5.1	0.90	ug/l	
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.0	1.3	ug/l	
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	5.1	2.5	ug/l	
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	10	1.6	ug/l	
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	5.1	1.3	ug/l	
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.0	0.90	ug/l	
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.0	0.89	ug/l	
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	5.1	0.97	ug/l	
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	10	1.2	ug/l	
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	4.0	1.4	ug/l	
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.0	0.40	ug/l	
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	5.1	1.5	ug/l	
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.1	1.3	ug/l	
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.1	0.93	ug/l	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.0	0.19	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.0	0.14	ug/l	
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.0	0.21	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.0	0.45	ug/l	
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	5.1	0.29	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.0	0.34	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.0	0.41	ug/l	
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.46	ug/l	
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.0	0.24	ug/l	
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	5.1	0.34	ug/l	
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.0	0.23	ug/l	



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 2 of 3

Client Sample ID:	UP-2D	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-5	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.0	0.66	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.0	0.28	ug/l	
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.0	0.25	ug/l	
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.0	0.41	ug/l	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.0	0.37	ug/l	
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.0	0.56	ug/l	
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.0	0.48	ug/l	
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.0	0.51	ug/l	
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	278 <sup>a</sup>	5.1	3.3	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.0	0.33	ug/l	
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	5.1	0.22	ug/l	
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.50	ug/l	
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.24	ug/l	
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.26	ug/l	
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.22	ug/l	
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	2.0	1.7	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.17	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.0	0.17	ug/l	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.0	0.33	ug/l	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	0.50	ug/l	
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	10	2.8	ug/l	
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.0	0.39	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.0	0.34	ug/l	
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.0	0.28	ug/l	
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.0	0.27	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	5.1	0.28	ug/l	
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	5.1	0.39	ug/l	
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	5.1	0.44	ug/l	
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.0	0.65	ug/l	
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.0	0.49	ug/l	
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	5.1	0.22	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.0	0.37	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	33%	26%	14-88%

ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



## Report of Analysis

Page 3 of 3

Client Sample ID:	UP-2D	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-5	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	25%	17%	10-110%
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	91%	69%	39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	84%	59%	32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	79%	67%	35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	75%	79%	10-126%

(a) Result is from Run# 2



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
RL = Reporting Limit  
E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	UP-2D	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-5	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	4P18639.D	1	09/12/16	SG	09/09/16	OP96907A	E4P1006
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	990 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.10	0.030	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	78%		24-125%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	56%		19-127%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	76%		10-119%



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	UP-2D	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-5	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846-8015C (DAI)		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	GH106355.D	1	09/08/16	XPL	n/a	n/a	GGH5488
Run #2							

## Low Molecular Alcohol List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	100	55	ug/l	
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	100	36	ug/l	
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	100	68	ug/l	
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	100	43	ug/l	
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	87	ug/l	
78-92-2	sec-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	66	ug/l	
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	200	71	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
111-27-3	Hexanol	106%		56-145%



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	UP-2D	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-5	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8081B SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1 <sup>a</sup>	1G127316.D	1	09/16/16	KD	09/09/16	OP96898	G1G4086
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	1000 ml	5.0 ml
Run #2		

## Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.0050	0.0030	ug/l	
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.0050	0.0030	ug/l	
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.0050	0.0028	ug/l	
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.0050	0.0023	ug/l	
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.0050	0.0014	ug/l	
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.0050	0.0023	ug/l	
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.0050	0.0023	ug/l	
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.0050	0.0018	ug/l	
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.0050	0.0019	ug/l	
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.0050	0.0031	ug/l	
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.0050	0.0025	ug/l	
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.0050	0.0025	ug/l	
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.0050	0.0026	ug/l	
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.0050	0.0026	ug/l	
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.0050	0.0025	ug/l	
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.0050	0.0025	ug/l	
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.0050	0.0021	ug/l	
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.0050	0.0019	ug/l	
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.0050	0.0033	ug/l	
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	0.010	0.0028	ug/l	
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	0.13	0.092	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	83%		26-132%
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	94%		26-132%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	75%		10-118%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	71%		10-118%



(a) There is no additional sample for re-extraction.

ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID:	UP-1	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-6	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	2M87255.D	1	09/20/16	AN	09/09/16	OP96907	E2M3871
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	940 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	5.3	0.87	ug/l	
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	5.3	0.95	ug/l	
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.1	1.4	ug/l	
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	5.3	2.6	ug/l	
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	11	1.6	ug/l	
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	5.3	1.4	ug/l	
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.1	0.94	ug/l	
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.1	0.94	ug/l	
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	5.3	1.0	ug/l	
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	11	1.2	ug/l	
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	4.3	1.5	ug/l	
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.1	0.42	ug/l	
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	5.3	1.6	ug/l	
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.3	1.4	ug/l	
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.3	0.98	ug/l	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.1	0.20	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.1	0.14	ug/l	
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.1	0.22	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	2.0	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.1	0.48	ug/l	
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	5.3	0.31	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.1	0.36	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.1	0.43	ug/l	
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.49	ug/l	
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l	
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.1	0.25	ug/l	
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	5.3	0.36	ug/l	
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.1	0.24	ug/l	



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 2 of 3

Client Sample ID:	UP-1	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-6	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.1	0.69	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.1	0.19	ug/l	
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.1	0.30	ug/l	
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.1	0.26	ug/l	
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.1	0.43	ug/l	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.1	0.39	ug/l	
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.1	0.59	ug/l	
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.1	0.51	ug/l	
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.1	0.54	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.1	0.35	ug/l	
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	5.3	0.23	ug/l	
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.53	ug/l	
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.25	ug/l	
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.28	ug/l	
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.23	ug/l	
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	2.1	1.8	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.18	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.1	0.18	ug/l	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.1	0.35	ug/l	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.1	0.52	ug/l	
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	11	3.0	ug/l	
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.1	0.41	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.1	0.35	ug/l	
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.1	0.29	ug/l	
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.1	0.28	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	5.3	0.29	ug/l	
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	5.3	0.41	ug/l	
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	5.3	0.47	ug/l	
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.1	0.68	ug/l	
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.1	0.51	ug/l	
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	5.3	0.24	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.1	0.19	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l	
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.1	0.39	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	37%		14-88%
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	24%		10-110%

ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



## Report of Analysis

Page 3 of 3

Client Sample ID:	UP-1	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-6	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	103%		39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	78%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	77%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	91%		10-126%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
RL = Reporting Limit  
E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	UP-1	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-6	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	4P18641.D	1	09/12/16	SG	09/09/16	OP96907A	E4P1006
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	940 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.11	0.031	ug/l	
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	2.41	0.11	0.052	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	94%		24-125%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	64%		19-127%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	79%		10-119%



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	UP-1	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-6	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846-8015C (DAI)		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	GH106358.D	1	09/08/16	XPL	n/a	n/a	GGH5488
Run #2							

## Low Molecular Alcohol List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	100	55	ug/l	
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	100	36	ug/l	
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	100	68	ug/l	
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	100	43	ug/l	
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	87	ug/l	
78-92-2	sec-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	66	ug/l	
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	200	71	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
111-27-3	Hexanol	106%		56-145%



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	UP-1	Date Sampled:	09/05/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-6	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8081B SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1 <sup>a</sup>	1G127317.D	1	09/16/16	KD	09/09/16	OP96898	G1G4086
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	980 ml	5.0 ml
Run #2		

## Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.0051	0.0031	ug/l	
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.0051	0.0031	ug/l	
319-85-7	beta-BHC <sup>b</sup>	0.0052	0.0051	0.0029	ug/l	
319-86-8	delta-BHC	0.0093	0.0051	0.0023	ug/l	
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.0051	0.0014	ug/l	
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane <sup>b</sup>	0.0059	0.0051	0.0024	ug/l	
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane <sup>b</sup>	0.0055	0.0051	0.0023	ug/l	
60-57-1	Dieldrin	0.013	0.0051	0.0018	ug/l	
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	0.021	0.0051	0.0019	ug/l	
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	0.0083	0.0051	0.0031	ug/l	
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT <sup>b</sup>	0.021	0.0051	0.0025	ug/l	
72-20-8	Endrin	0.018	0.0051	0.0026	ug/l	
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	0.030	0.0051	0.0027	ug/l	
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde <sup>b</sup>	0.030	0.0051	0.0026	ug/l	
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	0.027	0.0051	0.0026	ug/l	
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	0.0045	0.0051	0.0025	ug/l	J
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	0.027	0.0051	0.0022	ug/l	
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.0051	0.0019	ug/l	
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide <sup>b</sup>	0.0053	0.0051	0.0033	ug/l	
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	0.031	0.010	0.0029	ug/l	
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	0.13	0.094	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	79%		26-132%
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	77%		26-132%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	75%		10-118%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	71%		10-118%

(a) There is no additional sample for re-extraction.

(b) More than 40 % RPD for detected concentrations between the two GC columns.

ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID:	EB-090616	Date Sampled:	09/06/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-7	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Equipment Blank	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	P107532.D	1	09/14/16	JJ	09/09/16	OP96907	EP4760
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	950 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	5.3	0.86	ug/l	
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	5.3	0.94	ug/l	
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.1	1.3	ug/l	
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	5.3	2.6	ug/l	
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	11	1.6	ug/l	
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	5.3	1.4	ug/l	
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.1	0.93	ug/l	
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.1	0.93	ug/l	
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	5.3	1.0	ug/l	
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	11	1.2	ug/l	
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	4.2	1.5	ug/l	
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.1	0.41	ug/l	
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	5.3	1.5	ug/l	
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.3	1.4	ug/l	
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.3	0.97	ug/l	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.1	0.20	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.1	0.14	ug/l	
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.1	0.22	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.1	0.47	ug/l	
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	5.3	0.30	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	1.1	0.21	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.1	0.36	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.1	0.43	ug/l	
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.48	ug/l	
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.1	0.25	ug/l	
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	5.3	0.36	ug/l	
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.1	0.24	ug/l	



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 2 of 3

<b>Client Sample ID:</b>	EB-090616	<b>Date Sampled:</b>	09/06/16
<b>Lab Sample ID:</b>	JC27137-7	<b>Date Received:</b>	09/07/16
<b>Matrix:</b>	AQ - Equipment Blank	<b>Percent Solids:</b>	n/a
<b>Method:</b>	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
<b>Project:</b>	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.1	0.68	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.1	0.19	ug/l	
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.1	0.29	ug/l	
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.1	0.26	ug/l	
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.1	0.42	ug/l	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.1	0.39	ug/l	
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.1	0.58	ug/l	
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.1	0.50	ug/l	
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.1	0.53	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.1	0.35	ug/l	
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	5.3	0.23	ug/l	
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.52	ug/l	
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.25	ug/l	
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.28	ug/l	
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.23	ug/l	
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	2.1	1.7	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.18	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.1	0.18	ug/l	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.1	0.34	ug/l	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.1	0.52	ug/l	
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	11	2.9	ug/l	
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.1	0.41	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.1	0.35	ug/l	
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.1	0.29	ug/l	
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.1	0.28	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	5.3	0.29	ug/l	
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	5.3	0.41	ug/l	
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	5.3	0.46	ug/l	
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.1	0.68	ug/l	
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.1	0.51	ug/l	
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	5.3	0.23	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.1	0.18	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l	
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.1	0.39	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	48%		14-88%
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	32%		10-110%

ND = Not detected    MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



## Report of Analysis

Page 3 of 3

Client Sample ID:	EB-090616	Date Sampled:	09/06/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-7	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Equipment Blank	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	90%		39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	83%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	76%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	85%		10-126%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
RL = Reporting Limit  
E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	EB-090616	Date Sampled:	09/06/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-7	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Equipment Blank	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	4P18642.D	1	09/12/16	SG	09/09/16	OP96907A	E4P1006
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	950 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.11	0.031	ug/l	
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	ND	0.11	0.051	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	80%		24-125%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	61%		19-127%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	89%		10-119%



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	EB-090616	Date Sampled:	09/06/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-7	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Equipment Blank	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846-8015C (DAI)		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	GH106359.D	1	09/08/16	XPL	n/a	n/a	GCH5488
Run #2							

## Low Molecular Alcohol List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	100	55	ug/l	
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	100	36	ug/l	
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	100	68	ug/l	
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	100	43	ug/l	
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	87	ug/l	
78-92-2	sec-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	66	ug/l	
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	200	71	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
111-27-3	Hexanol	103%		56-145%



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	EB-090616	Date Sampled:	09/06/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-7	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Equipment Blank	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8081B SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1 <sup>a</sup>	1G127283.D	1	09/16/16	KD	09/15/16	OP97040	G1G4086
Run #2 <sup>b</sup>	1G127318.D	1	09/17/16	KD	09/09/16	OP96898	G1G4086

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	1000 ml	10.0 ml
Run #2	1000 ml	5.0 ml

## Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.010	0.0060	ug/l	
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.010	0.0060	ug/l	
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.010	0.0057	ug/l	
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.010	0.0046	ug/l	
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.010	0.0028	ug/l	
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.010	0.0046	ug/l	
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.010	0.0046	ug/l	
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.010	0.0036	ug/l	
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.010	0.0038	ug/l	
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.010	0.0062	ug/l	
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.010	0.0050	ug/l	
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.010	0.0050	ug/l	
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.010	0.0053	ug/l	
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.010	0.0051	ug/l	
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.010	0.0051	ug/l	
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.010	0.0050	ug/l	
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.010	0.0043	ug/l	
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.010	0.0038	ug/l	
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.010	0.0065	ug/l	
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	0.020	0.0057	ug/l	
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	0.25	0.18	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	99%	101%	26-132%
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	90%	103%	26-132%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	68%	63%	10-118%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	56%	57%	10-118%

(a) Re-extracted due to BS outside in house QC limits. originally prep date was within holding time.

(b) Confirmation run.

ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID: S-29R  
 Lab Sample ID: JC27137-8  
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water  
 Method: SW846 8270D SW846 3510C  
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 09/06/16  
 Date Received: 09/07/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	P107533.D	1	09/14/16	JJ	09/09/16	OP96907	EP4760
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	980 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	5.1	0.84	ug/l	
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	5.1	0.91	ug/l	
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.0	1.3	ug/l	
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	5.1	2.5	ug/l	
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	10	1.6	ug/l	
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	5.1	1.3	ug/l	
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.0	0.91	ug/l	
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.0	0.90	ug/l	
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	5.1	0.98	ug/l	
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	10	1.2	ug/l	
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	4.1	1.4	ug/l	
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.0	0.40	ug/l	
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	5.1	1.5	ug/l	
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.1	1.4	ug/l	
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.1	0.94	ug/l	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.0	0.19	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.0	0.14	ug/l	
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.0	0.21	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	19.3	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.0	0.46	ug/l	
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	5.1	0.29	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.0	0.35	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.0	0.41	ug/l	
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.47	ug/l	
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.0	0.24	ug/l	
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	5.1	0.35	ug/l	
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.0	0.23	ug/l	

ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



## Report of Analysis

Page 2 of 3

Client Sample ID:	S-29R	Date Sampled:	09/06/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-8	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.0	0.66	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.0	0.28	ug/l	
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.0	0.25	ug/l	
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.0	0.41	ug/l	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.0	0.37	ug/l	
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.0	0.56	ug/l	
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.0	0.49	ug/l	
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.0	0.52	ug/l	
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	10.6	1.0	0.67	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.0	0.34	ug/l	
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	5.1	0.22	ug/l	
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.51	ug/l	
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.24	ug/l	
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.27	ug/l	
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.22	ug/l	
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	2.0	1.7	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.17	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.0	0.17	ug/l	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.0	0.33	ug/l	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	0.50	ug/l	
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	10	2.8	ug/l	
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.0	0.40	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.0	0.34	ug/l	
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.0	0.28	ug/l	
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.0	0.27	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	5.1	0.28	ug/l	
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	5.1	0.39	ug/l	
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	5.1	0.45	ug/l	
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.0	0.66	ug/l	
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.0	0.49	ug/l	
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	5.1	0.23	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.0	0.38	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	57%		14-88%

ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



## Report of Analysis

Page 3 of 3

Client Sample ID:	S-29R	Date Sampled:	09/06/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-8	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	39%		10-110%
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	104%		39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	90%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	91%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	88%		10-126%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	S-29R	Date Sampled:	09/06/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-8	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	4P18643.D	1	09/12/16	SG	09/09/16	OP96907A	E4P1006
Run #2							

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	980 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.10	0.030	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	87%		24-125%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	63%		19-127%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	83%		10-119%



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	S-29R	Date Sampled:	09/06/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-8	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846-8015C (DAI)		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	GH106360.D	1	09/08/16	XPL	n/a	n/a	GGH5488
Run #2							

## Low Molecular Alcohol List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	100	55	ug/l	
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	100	36	ug/l	
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	100	68	ug/l	
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	100	43	ug/l	
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	87	ug/l	
78-92-2	sec-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	66	ug/l	
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	200	71	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
111-27-3	Hexanol	105%		56-145%



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	S-29R	Date Sampled:	09/06/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-8	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8081B SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1 <sup>a</sup>	1G127284.D	1	09/16/16	KD	09/15/16	OP97040	G1G4086
Run #2 <sup>b</sup>	1G127319.D	1	09/17/16	KD	09/09/16	OP96898	G1G4086

Run	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	1000 ml	10.0 ml
Run #2	980 ml	5.0 ml

## Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.010	0.0060	ug/l	
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.010	0.0060	ug/l	
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.010	0.0057	ug/l	
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.010	0.0046	ug/l	
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.010	0.0028	ug/l	
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.010	0.0046	ug/l	
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.010	0.0046	ug/l	
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.010	0.0036	ug/l	
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.010	0.0038	ug/l	
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.010	0.0062	ug/l	
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.010	0.0050	ug/l	
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.010	0.0050	ug/l	
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.010	0.0053	ug/l	
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.010	0.0051	ug/l	
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.010	0.0051	ug/l	
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.010	0.0050	ug/l	
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.010	0.0043	ug/l	
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.010	0.0038	ug/l	
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.010	0.0065	ug/l	
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	0.020	0.0057	ug/l	
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	0.25	0.18	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	80%	71%	26-132%
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	72%	60%	26-132%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	90%	75%	10-118%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	76%	60%	10-118%



(a) Re-extracted due to BS outside in house QC limits. originally prep date was within holding time.

(b) Confirmation run.

ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID:	S-31R(2)	Date Sampled:	09/06/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-9	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	P107534.D	1	09/14/16	JJ	09/09/16	OP96907	EP4760
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	960 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	5.2	0.85	ug/l	
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	5.2	0.93	ug/l	
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.1	1.3	ug/l	
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	9.2	5.2	2.5	ug/l	
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	10	1.6	ug/l	
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	5.2	1.4	ug/l	
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.1	0.93	ug/l	
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.1	0.92	ug/l	
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	5.2	1.0	ug/l	
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	10	1.2	ug/l	
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	4.2	1.4	ug/l	
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.1	0.41	ug/l	
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	5.2	1.5	ug/l	
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.2	1.4	ug/l	
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.2	0.96	ug/l	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.0	0.20	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.0	0.14	ug/l	
98-86-2	Acetophenone	6.7	2.1	0.22	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	3.8	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.1	0.47	ug/l	
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	5.2	0.30	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.0	0.36	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.1	0.42	ug/l	
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.48	ug/l	
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.1	0.25	ug/l	
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	5.2	0.35	ug/l	
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.0	0.24	ug/l	



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 2 of 3

Client Sample ID: S-31R(2)

Lab Sample ID: JC27137-9

Matrix: AQ - Ground Water

Method: SW846 8270D SW846 3510C

Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 09/06/16

Date Received: 09/07/16

Percent Solids: n/a

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.1	0.68	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.1	0.29	ug/l	
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.1	0.26	ug/l	
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.1	0.42	ug/l	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.1	0.38	ug/l	
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.0	0.58	ug/l	
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.0	0.50	ug/l	
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.1	0.53	ug/l	
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	23.0	1.0	0.68	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.0	0.34	ug/l	
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	5.2	0.23	ug/l	
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.52	ug/l	
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.24	ug/l	
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.27	ug/l	
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.23	ug/l	
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	2.1	1.7	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.0	0.34	ug/l	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	0.51	ug/l	
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	10	2.9	ug/l	
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.1	0.41	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.0	0.35	ug/l	
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.1	0.29	ug/l	
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.0	0.27	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.0	0.22	ug/l	
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	5.2	0.29	ug/l	
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	5.2	0.40	ug/l	
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	5.2	0.46	ug/l	
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.1	0.67	ug/l	
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.1	0.50	ug/l	
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	5.2	0.23	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.0	0.23	ug/l	
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.1	0.39	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	51%		14-88%

ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



## Report of Analysis

Page 3 of 3

Client Sample ID:	S-31R(2)	Date Sampled:	09/06/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-9	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

## ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	38%		10-110%
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	90%		39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	81%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	83%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	80%		10-126%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
RL = Reporting Limit  
E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	S-31R(2)	Date Sampled:	09/06/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-9	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	4P18644.D	1	09/12/16	SG	09/09/16	OP96907A	E4P1006
Run #2							

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	960 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2		

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.10	0.031	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	80%		24-125%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	59%		19-127%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	77%		10-119%



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-31R(2)  
 Lab Sample ID: JC27137-9  
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water  
 Method: SW846-8015C (DAI)  
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 09/06/16  
 Date Received: 09/07/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	GH106361.D	1	09/08/16	XPL	n/a	n/a	GGH5488
Run #2							

## Low Molecular Alcohol List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	100	55	ug/l	
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	100	36	ug/l	
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	100	68	ug/l	
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	100	43	ug/l	
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	87	ug/l	
78-92-2	sec-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	66	ug/l	
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	200	71	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
111-27-3	Hexanol	101%		56-145%



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	S-31R(2)	Date Sampled:	09/06/16
Lab Sample ID:	JC27137-9	Date Received:	09/07/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	SW846 8081B SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR		

Run	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1 <sup>a</sup>	1G127285.D	1	09/16/16	KD	09/15/16	OP97040	G1G4086
Run #2 <sup>b</sup>	1G127320.D	1	09/17/16	KD	09/09/16	OP96898	G1G4086

Run	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	925 ml	10.0 ml
Run #2	970 ml	5.0 ml

## Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.011	0.0065	ug/l	
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.011	0.0065	ug/l	
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.011	0.0062	ug/l	
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.011	0.0049	ug/l	
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.011	0.0030	ug/l	
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.011	0.0050	ug/l	
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.011	0.0050	ug/l	
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.011	0.0039	ug/l	
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.011	0.0041	ug/l	
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.011	0.0067	ug/l	
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.011	0.0054	ug/l	
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.011	0.0054	ug/l	
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.011	0.0057	ug/l	
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.011	0.0055	ug/l	
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.011	0.0055	ug/l	
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.011	0.0054	ug/l	
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.011	0.0046	ug/l	
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.011	0.0041	ug/l	
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.011	0.0071	ug/l	
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	0.022	0.0061	ug/l	
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	0.27	0.20	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	73%	56%	26-132%
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	67%	63%	26-132%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	68%	56%	10-118%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	64%	54%	10-118%

(a) Re-extracted due to BS outside in house QC limits. originally prep date was within holding time.

(b) Confirmation run.

ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound





PAGE 1 OF 1 4

NJ

2236 Route 130, Clayton, NJ 08010  
TEL: 732-329-0100 FAX: 732-329-3490/3480  
WWW.BCCORREL.COM

801219535950

Source: Data compiled by

[illegible]

5.1 5

## JC27137: Chain of Custody

Page 1 of 3

SGS

61 of 63  
ACCU-TEST  
JC27137

## EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No: JC27137 Laboratory: Accutest, New Jersey  
Analysis: SW846-8270D Number of Samples: 9  
Location: BMSMC, Building 5 Area  
Humacao, PR

**SUMMARY:** Nine (9) samples were analyzed for the ABN TCL list following method SW846-8270D; Naphthalene and 1,4-Dioxane were also analyzed by SW846-8270D using the selective ion monitoring (SIM) technique. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section, SOP HW-35A, July 2015 –Revision 0. Semivolatile Data Validation. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

**Critical issues:** None  
**Major:** None  
**Minor:** None

**Critical findings:** None  
**Major findings:** None

**Minor findings:** 1. Initial and continuing calibration verifications meet the method and guidance document required performance criteria except in the cases described in the Data Review Worksheet. Analytes not meeting the continuing calibration verification method performance criteria and validation guidance document performance criteria qualified as estimated (J) or (UJ) in affected samples.

Analytes not meeting the continuing calibration verification method performance criteria but were within the validation guidance document performance criteria were not qualified. .

No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.

2. Analytes detected in method blank at a concentration below the reporting limits. Analytes were detected in sample batch. No action taken

3. MS/MSD % recovery for 1,4-dioxane in samples JC27137-2MS/MSD and JC27137-3MS/MSD outside laboratory control limits. No action taken, analyte concentration high compared to amount spiked.


Several analytes did not meet the RPD laboratory control limits in sample JC27137-6MS/MSD. No qualification made on the basis of RPD, professional judgment.

**COMMENTS:** Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

**Reviewers Name:** Rafael Infante  
Chemist License 1888

**Signature:**

**Date:**

  
October 2, 2016

# SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC27137-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/2/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	4.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	0.60	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
Carbazole	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.49	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	5.1	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Naphthalene	0.729	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	0.760	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes

Sample ID: JC27137-2  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 9/2/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	4.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	5.1	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
Carbazole	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	6.2	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	2.0	ug/l	J	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Naphthalene	0.10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
-------------	------	------	---	---	---	-----

Sample ID: JC27137-3  
Sample location: BSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 9/2/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	4.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	5.2	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
Carbazole	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Chrysene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	6.1	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	4.4	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Naphthalene	0.10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
-------------	------	------	---	---	---	-----

Sample ID: JC27137-4  
Sample location: BSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 9/5/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	4.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Carbazole	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Caprolactam	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	328	ug/l	5	-	-	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	5.3	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Naphthalene	0.11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
-------------	------	------	---	---	---	-----

Sample ID: JC27137-5  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 9/5/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	4.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Carbazole	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Chrysene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	278	ug/l	5	-	-	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	2.0	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Naphthalene	0.10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
-------------	------	------	---	---	---	-----

Sample ID: JC27137-6  
Sample location: BSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 9/5/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	4.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Atrazine	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Carbazole	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Caprolactam	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Naphthalene	0.11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	2.41	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes

Sample ID: JC27137-7  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 9/6/2016  
Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	4.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Carbazole	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Chrysene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	5.3	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Naphthalene	0.11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	0.11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC27137-8  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 9/6/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	4.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	19.3	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Atrazine	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Carbazole	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	10.6	ug/l	5	-	-	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	5.1	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Naphthalene	0.10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
-------------	------	------	---	---	---	-----

Sample ID: JC27137-9  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 9/6/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	9.2	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	4.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	6.7	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Anthracene	3.8	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Atrazine	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

2-Chloronaphthalene	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Carbazole	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	23.0	ug/l	5	-	-	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	5.2	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Naphthalene	0.10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
-------------	------	------	---	---	---	-----

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Project Number: JC27137  
 Date: September 02-06, 2016  
 Shipping Date: September 06, 2016  
 EPA Region: 2

## REVIEW OF SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC PACKAGE

The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics were created to delineate required validation actions. This document will assist the reviewer in using professional judgment to make more informed decision and in better serving the needs of the data users. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section, SOP HW-35A, July 2015 –Revision 0. *Semivolatile Data Validation*. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

The hardcopied (laboratory name) Accutest data package received has been reviewed and the quality control and performance data summarized. The data review for SVOCs included:

Lab. Project/SDG No.: JC27137 Sample matrix: Groundwater  
 No. of Samples: 9 SIM/9 SCAN  
 Trip blank No.: -  
 Field blank No.: -  
 Equipment blank No.: JC27137-7  
 Field duplicate No.: JC27137-2/ JC27137-3; JC27137-4/ JC27137-5

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data Completeness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Laboratory Control Spikes
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Holding Times	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Field Duplicates
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GC/MS Tuning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Calibrations
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal Standard Performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Identifications
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Blanks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Quantitation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surrogate Recoveries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quantitation Limits
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	

Overall Comments: SVOCs TCL special list analyzed by method SW846-8270D;  
Naphthalene and 1,4-Dioxane analyzed by method SW846-8270D (SIM)

### Definition of Qualifiers:

J- Estimated results  
 U- Compound not detected  
 R- Rejected data  
 UJ- Estimated nondetect

Reviewer: Rafael Infante  
 Date: October 2, 2016

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

## DATA COMPLETENESS

### MISSING INFORMATION

DATE LAB. CONTACTED

DATE RECEIVED

[illegible]

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below       

## HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED/ANALYZED	pH	ACTION
All samples extracted and analyzed within method recommended holding time. Samples properly preserved except in the cases described in this document.				

Cooler temperature (Criteria:  $4 \pm 2$  °C):       5.8°C      

## Actions

Results will be qualified based on the criteria of the following Table:

**Table 1. Holding Time Actions for Semivolatile Analyses**

Matrix	Preserved	Criteria	Action	
			Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds
Aqueous	No	$\leq 7$ days (for extraction) $\leq 40$ days (for analysis)	Use professional judgment	
	No	$> 7$ days (for extraction) $> 40$ days (for analysis)	J	Use professional judgment
	Yes	$\leq 7$ days (for extraction) $\leq 40$ days (for analysis)	No qualification	
	Yes	$> 7$ days (for extraction) $> 40$ days (for analysis)	J	UJ
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	J	UJ or R
Non-Aqueous	No	$\leq 14$ days (for extraction) $\leq 40$ days (for analysis)	Use professional judgment	
	No	$> 14$ days (for extraction) $> 40$ days (for analysis)	J	Use professional judgment
	Yes	$\leq 14$ days (for extraction) $\leq 40$ days (for analysis)	No qualification	
	Yes	$> 14$ days (for extraction) $> 40$ days (for analysis)	J	UJ
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	J	UJ or R

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met see below       

### GC/MS TUNING

The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits

  X   The DFTPP performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.

  X   DFTPP tuning was performed for every 12 hours of sample analysis.

If no, use professional judgment to determine whether the associated data should be accepted, qualified or rejected.

Notes: These requirements do not apply when samples are analyzed by the Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) technique.

All mass spectrometer conditions must be identical to those used during the sample analysis. Background subtraction actions resulting in spectral distortion are unacceptable

Notes: No data should be qualified based of DFTPP failure.

The requirement to analyze the instrument performance check solution is optional when analysis of PAHs/pentachlorophenol is to be performed by the SIM technique.

List the samples affected:

---

---

---

---

#### Actions:

1. If sample are analyzed without a preceding valid instrument performance check or are analyzed 12 hours after the Instrument Performance Check, qualify all data in those samples as unusable (R).
2. If ion abundance criteria are not met, use professional judgment to determine to what extent the data may be utilized.
3. State in the Data Review Narrative, decisions to use analytical data associated with DFTPP instrument performance checks not meeting the contract requirements.
4. Use professional judgment to determine if associated data should be qualified based on the spectrum of the mass calibration compounds.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

### INITIAL CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration: 09/13-14/16 (Scan) \_\_\_\_\_ 08/26/16 (SIM) \_\_\_\_\_  
 Instrument ID numbers: GCM2M \_\_\_\_\_ GCMS3M \_\_\_\_\_  
 Matrix/Level: Aqueous/low \_\_\_\_\_ Aqueous/low \_\_\_\_\_

Date of initial calibration: 09/14-15/16 (Scan) \_\_\_\_\_ 08/17/16 (SIM) \_\_\_\_\_  
 Instrument ID numbers: GCMS2P \_\_\_\_\_ GCMS4P \_\_\_\_\_  
 Matrix/Level: Aqueous/low \_\_\_\_\_ Aqueous/low \_\_\_\_\_

Date of initial calibration: 08/17/16 (Scan) \_\_\_\_\_  
 Instrument ID numbers: GCMSP \_\_\_\_\_  
 Matrix/Level: Aqueous/low \_\_\_\_\_

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial and initial calibration verification meets the method and guidance validation document performance criteria.				

#### Note:

#### Actions:

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria:

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

**Table 3. Initial Calibration Actions for Semivolatile Analysis**

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
Initial Calibration not performed at specified frequency and sequence	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R
Initial Calibration not performed at the specified concentrations	J	UJ
$RRI < \text{Minimum } RRI$ in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J or R	R
$RRI > \text{Minimum } RRI$ in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification
$\%RSD > \text{Maximum } \%RSD$ in Table 2 for target analyte	J	Use professional judgment
$\%RSD \leq \text{Maximum } \%RSD$ in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

## Initial Calibration

**Table 2. RRF, %RSD, and %D Acceptance Criteria in Initial Calibration and CCV for Semivolatile Analysis**

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>
1,4-Dioxane	0.010	40.0	-40.0	-50.0
Benzaldehyde	0.100	40.0	-40.0	-50.0
Phenol	0.080	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.100	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
2-Chlorophenol	0.200	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
2-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
3-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
2,2'-(Oxybis-(1-chloropropane))	0.010	20.0	-25.0	-50.0
Acetophenone	0.060	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
4-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0.080	20.0	-25.0	-25.0
Hexachloroethane	0.100	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Nitrobenzene	0.090	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Isophorone	0.100	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
2-Nitrophenol	0.060	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.050	20.0	-25.0	-50.0
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.080	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.060	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Naphthalene	0.200	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
4-Chloroaniline	0.010	40.0	-40.0	-50.0
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.040	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Caprolactam	0.010	40.0	-30.0	-50.0
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	0.040	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.100	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.010	40.0	-40.0	-50.0
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.090	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	0.100	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
1,1'-Biphenyl	0.200	20.0	-20.0	-25.0

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>
2-Chloronaphthalene	0.300	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
2-Nitroaniline	0.060	20.0	- 25.0	- 25.0
Dimethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	- 25.0	- 25.0
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.080	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.400	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
3-Nitroaniline	0.010	20.0	- 25.0	- 50.0
Acenaphthene	0.200	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
2,4-Dinitrophenol	0.010	40.0	- 50.0	- 50.0
4-Nitrophenol	0.010	40.0	- 40.0	- 50.0
Dibenzofuran	0.300	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.070	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
Diethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	0.100	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	0.100	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
Fluorene	0.200	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
4-Nitroaniline	0.010	40.0	- 40.0	- 50.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	0.010	40.0	- 30.0	- 50.0
4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	0.070	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0.100	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
Hexachlorobenzene	0.050	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
Atrazine	0.010	40.0	- 25.0	- 50.0
Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	- 40.0	- 50.0
Phenanthrene	0.200	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
Anthracene	0.200	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
Carbazole	0.050	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
Di-n-butylphthalate	0.500	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
Fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
Pyrene	0.400	20.0	- 25.0	- 50.0
Butylbenzylphthalate	0.100	20.0	- 25.0	- 50.0

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	0.010	40.0	-40.0	-50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.300	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Chrysene	0.200	20.0	-20.0	-50.0
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.200	20.0	-25.0	-50.0
Di-n-octyl phthalate	0.010	40.0	-40.0	-50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	-25.0	-50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	-25.0	-50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.010	20.0	-20.0	-50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.010	20.0	-25.0	-50.0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	20.0	-25.0	-50.0
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.010	20.0	-30.0	-50.0
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	0.040	20.0	-20.0	-50.0
Naphthalene	0.600	20.0	-25.0	-25.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.300	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.900	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Acenaphthene	0.500	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Fluorene	0.700	20.0	-25.0	-50.0
Phenanthrene	0.300	20.0	-25.0	-50.0
Anthracene	0.400	20.0	-25.0	-50.0
Fluoranthene	0.400	20.0	-25.0	-50.0
Pyrene	0.500	20.0	-30.0	-50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.400	20.0	-25.0	-50.0
Chrysene	0.400	20.0	-25.0	-50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	-30.0	-50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	-30.0	-50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.100	20.0	-25.0	-50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.100	20.0	-40.0	-50.0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	25.0	-40.0	-50.0
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.020	25.0	-40.0	-50.0

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	- 50.0	- 50.0
<b>Deuterated Monitoring Compounds</b>				
Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>	Closing Maximum %D
1,4-Dioxane-d <sub>8</sub>	0.010	20.0	- 25.0	- 50.0
Phenol-d <sub>5</sub>	0.010	20.0	- 25.0	- 25.0
Bis-(2-chloroethyl) ether-d <sub>8</sub>	0.100	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
2-Chlorophenol-d <sub>4</sub>	0.200	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
4-Methylphenol-d <sub>8</sub>	0.010	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
4-Chloroaniline-d <sub>4</sub>	0.010	40.0	- 40.0	- 50.0
Nitrobenzene-d <sub>5</sub>	0.050	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
2-Nitrophenol-d <sub>4</sub>	0.050	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol-d <sub>3</sub>	0.060	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
Dimethylphthalate-d <sub>6</sub>	0.300	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
Acenaphthylene-d <sub>8</sub>	0.400	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
4-Nitrophenol-d <sub>4</sub>	0.010	40.0	- 40.0	- 50.0
Fluorene-d <sub>10</sub>	0.100	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d <sub>5</sub>	0.010	40.0	- 30.0	- 50.0
Anthracene-d <sub>10</sub>	0.300	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
Pyrene-d <sub>10</sub>	0.300	20.0	- 25.0	- 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene-d <sub>12</sub>	0.010	20.0	- 20.0	- 50.0
Fluoranthene-d <sub>10</sub> (SIM)	0.400	20.0	- 25.0	- 50.0
2-Methylnaphthalene-d <sub>10</sub> (SIM)	0.300	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0

If a closing CCV is acting as an opening CCV, all target analytes must meet the requirements for an opening CCV.

**Note:** If analysis by SIM technique is requested for PAH/pentachlorophenols, calibration standards analyzed at 0.10, 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL for each target compound of interest and the associated DMCs. Pentachlorophenol will require only a four point initial calibration at 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met \_\_\_\_\_  
 Criteria were not met \_\_\_\_\_  
 and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_X\_\_\_\_\_

## CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration: 08/22/16 (SIM) 08/26/16 (SIM)  
 Date of initial calibration verification (ICV): 08/22/16 08/26/16  
 Date of continuing calibration verification (CCV): 09/12/16 09/12/16; 09/15/16  
 Date of closing CCV: -  
 Instrument ID numbers: GCMS4P GCMS3M  
 Matrix/Level: Aqueous/low Aqueous/low

Date of initial calibration: 09/14-15/16 (Scan) 09/13-14/16 (Scan)  
 Date of initial calibration verification (ICV): 09/14-15/16 09/14/16  
 Date of continuing calibration verification (CCV): 09/20/16 09/20/16  
 Date of closing CCV: -  
 Instrument ID numbers: GCMS2P GCMS2M  
 Matrix/Level: Aqueous/low Aqueous/low

Date of initial calibration: 8/17/16 (Scan)  
 Date of initial calibration verification (ICV): 08/17-18/16  
 Date of continuing calibration verification (CCV): 09/09/16; 09/13/16  
 Date of closing CCV: -  
 Instrument ID numbers: GCMSP  
 Matrix/Level: Aqueous/low

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
GCMS2M				
09/20/16	cc3865-50	-28.3 %	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	JC27137-4; -5; -6
		-20.3 %	2,4-dinitrophenol*	
		-26.0 %	2,3,4,6-tetrachlorophenol	
		-20.7 %	3,3'-dichlorobenzidine*	
09/13/16	cc1354-50	33.8 %	Benzaldehyde*	JC27137-4; -5; -6
GCMS2P				
09/20/16	cc2750-50	64.5 %	4-chloroaniline	JC27137-2; -3
		-25.3 %	3-nitroaniline*	
GCMSP				
09/09/16	cc4722-50	25.7 %	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	JC27137-1
		-29.7 %	2-nitroaniline	
		-32.9 %	di-n-octylphthalate*	
		-22.6 %	benzo(b)fluoranthene*	
09/09/16	cc4723-50	23.3	Benzaldehyde*	

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
GCMSP				
09/13/16	cc4722-25	-20.2 %	n-nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	JC27137-4; -5; -7; -8; -9
		-20.4 %	4-chloro-o-cresol	
		36.8 %	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	
		-39.0 %	2-nitroaniline	
		-24.8 %	4-nitrophenol*	

**Note:** Initial and continuing calibration verifications meet the method and guidance document required performance criteria except for the cases described in this document.

Analytes not meeting the method and guidance document performance criteria are qualified as estimated (J) in affected samples.

\* Analytes not meeting the method performance criteria but within the guidance document performed criteria. No action taken.

No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.

Actions:

Notes: Verify that the CCV is run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period).

All DMCs must meet the RRF values given in Table 2. No qualification of the data is necessary on DMCs RRF and %RSD/%D alone. Use professional judgment to evaluate DMCs and %RSD/%D data in conjunction with DMCs recoveries to determine the need for qualification of the data.

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria in the CCVs:

Table 4. CCV Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria for Opening CCV	Criteria for Closing CCV	Action	
		Detect	Non-detect
CCV not performed at required frequency and sequence	CCV not performed at required frequency	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R
CCV not performed at specified concentration	CCV not performed at specified concentration	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J or R	R
RRF > Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF > Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification
%D outside the Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D outside the Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	J	UJ
%D within the inclusive Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D within the inclusive Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met ☒   
Criteria were not met   
and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

## BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Notes: The concentration of non-target compounds in all blanks must be less than or equal to 10 ug/L.

The concentration of target compounds in all blanks must be less than its CRQL listed in the method.

Samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have an associated field blank.

### Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_target_analytes_detected_in_method_blanks_except_in_the_cases_described_in_this_document.				
_09/20/16	_OP96892-MB	_Aq./low	_Benzo(a)pyrene	_0.27_ug/l
			_Benzo(b)fluoranthene	_0.35_ug/l
			_Benzo(a)anthracene	_0.62_ug/l
			_Benzo(k)fluoranthene	_0.38_ug/l
			_Chrysene	_0.63_ug/l

**Note:** No action taken, professional judgment. Analytes detected in blank below the reporting limit. Analytes not detected in sample batch.

### Field/Equipment/Trip blank

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_target_analytes_detected_in_the_equipment_blank._No_field/trip_blanks_analyzed_with_this_data_package.				

**Note:**

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below           

## BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

### Blank Actions

Qualify samples based on the criteria summarized in Table 5:

**Table 5. Blank and TCLP/SPLP LEB Actions for Semivolatile Analysis**

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action
Method, TCLP/SPLP LEB, Field	Detect	Non-detect	No qualification
	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		> CRQL	Use professional judgment
	> CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		> CRQL but < Blank Result	Report at sample results and qualify as non-detect (U) or as unusable (R)
		> CRQL and > Blank Result	Use professional judgment
	Grossly high	Detect	Report at sample results and qualify as unusable (R)
	TIC > 5.0 ug/L (water) or 0.0050 mg/L (TCLP leachate) or TIC > 170 ug/Kg (soil)	Detect	Use professional judgment

### List samples qualified

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met ☒   
 Criteria were not met   
 and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

## SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES – DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS (DMCs)

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries – deuterated monitoring compounds. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

Notes: Recoveries for DMCs in samples and blanks must be within the limits specified in Table 6.

The recovery limits for any of the compounds listed in Table 6 may be expanded at any time during the period of performance if USEPA determines that the limits are too restrictive.

If a DMC is not added in the samples and blanks or the concentrations of DMCs in the samples and blank not the specified, use professional judgment in qualifying the data.

Table 7. DMC Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
%R < 10% (excluding DMC's with 10% as a lower acceptance limit)	J-	R
10% ≤ %R (excluding DMC's with 10% as a lower acceptance limit) < Lower Acceptance Limit	J-	U
Lower Acceptance limit < %R < Upper Acceptance Limit	No qualification	No qualification
%R > Upper Acceptance Limit	J+	No qualification

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for DMCs (surrogate) recovery.

Matrix: Groundwater

### SAMPLE ID

### SURROGATE COMPOUND

### ACTION

DMCs meet the required criteria. Non-deuterated surrogates added to the samples were  
within laboratory recovery limits except in the cases described in this document.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

**Table 8. Semivolatile DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes**

<b>1,4-Dioxane-d<sub>8</sub> (DMC-1)</b>	<b>Phenol-d<sub>5</sub> (DMC-2)</b>	<b>Bis(2-Chloroethyl) ether-d<sub>8</sub> (DMC-3)</b>
1,4-Dioxane	Benzaldehyde Phenol	Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane) Bis(2-chloroethoxy) methane
<b>2-Chlorophenol-d<sub>4</sub> (DMC-4)</b>	<b>4-Methylphenol-d<sub>8</sub> (DMC-5)</b>	<b>4-Chloroaniline-d<sub>4</sub> (DMC-6)</b>
2-Chlorophenol	2-Methylphenol 3-Methylphenol 4-Methylphenol 2,4-Dimethylphenol	4-Chloroaniline Hexachlorocyclopentadiene Dichlorobenzidine
<b>Nitrobenzene-d<sub>5</sub> (DMC-7)</b>	<b>2-Nitrophenol-d<sub>4</sub> (DMC-8)</b>	<b>2,4-Dichlorophenol-d<sub>3</sub> (DMC-9)</b>
Acetophenone N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine Hexachloroethane Nitrobenzene 2,6-Dinitrotoluene 2,4-Dinitrotoluene N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	Isophorone 2-Nitrophenol	2,4-Dichlorophenol Hexachlorobutadiene Hexachlorocyclopentadiene 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene *Pentachlorophenol 2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol
<b>Dimethylphthalate-d<sub>8</sub> (DMC-10)</b>	<b>Acenaphthylene-d<sub>8</sub> (DMC-11)</b>	<b>4-Nitrophenol-d<sub>4</sub> (DMC-12)</b>
Caprolactam 1,1'-Biphenyl Dimethylphthalate Diethylphthalate Di-n-butylphthalate Butylbenzylphthalate Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate Di-n-octylphthalate	*Naphthalene *2-Methylnaphthalene 2-Chloronaphthalene *Acenaphthylene *Acenaphthene	2-Nitroaniline 3-Nitroaniline 2,4-Dinitrophenol 4-Nitrophenol 4-Nitroaniline

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Fluorene-d <sub>10</sub> (DMC-13)	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d <sub>2</sub> (DMC-14)	Anthracene-d <sub>10</sub> (DMC-15)
Dibenzofuran *Fluorene 4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether Carbazole	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	Hexachlorobenzene Atrazine *Phenanthrene *Anthracene
Pyrene-d <sub>10</sub> (DMC-16)	Benzo(a)pyrene-d <sub>12</sub> (DMC-17)	
*Fluoranthene *Pyrene *Benzo(a)anthracene *Chrysene	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine *Benzo(b)fluoranthene *Benzo(k)fluoranthene *Benzo(a)pyrene *Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene *Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene *Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	

\*Included in optional Target Analyte List (TAL) of PAHs and PCP only.

**Table 9. Semivolatile SIM DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes**

Fluoranthene-d <sub>10</sub> (DMC-1)	2-Methylnaphthalene-d <sub>10</sub> (DMC-2)
Fluoranthene	Naphthalene
Pyrene	2-Methylnaphthalene
Benzo(a)anthracene	Acenaphthylene
Chrysene	Acenaphthene
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Fluorene
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Pentachlorophenol
Benzo(a)pyrene	Phenanthrene
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Anthracene
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met \_\_\_\_\_  
 Criteria were not met \_\_\_\_\_  
 and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_X\_\_\_\_\_

## VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

### 1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

**NOTES:** Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the Region.  
 Notify the Contract Laboratory COR if a field or trip blank was used for the MS and MSD.

For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID: _____JC27137-1_____	Matrix/Level: _____Groundwater_____
Sample ID: _____JC27137-6_____	Matrix/Level: _____Groundwater_____
Sample ID: _____JC27289-6_(SIM)_____	Matrix/Level: _____Groundwater_____
Sample ID: _____JC27137-2_(SIM)_____	Matrix/Level: _____Groundwater_____

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: **SW846 8270D**

JC27137-4, JC27137-5, JC27137-6, JC27137-7, JC27137-8, JC27137-9

Compound	JC27137-6 ug/l	Q	Spike ug/l	MS ug/l	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
2-Chlorophenol	ND		101	57.9	57	101	81.1	80	33* a	49-110/20
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND		101	61.5	61	101	90.9	90	39* a	44-121/18
2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND		101	56.0	55	101	78.4	78	33* a	42-120/19
2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND		101	61.3	61	101	85.3	84	33* a	33-132/23
2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND		202	110	54	202	186	92	51* a	21-145/26
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND		101	65.5	65	101	106	105	47* a	25-134/27
2-Methylphenol	ND		101	57.9	57	101	80.3	79	32* a	47-112/18
3&4-Methylphenol	ND		101	59.7	59	101	82.4	82	32* a	44-113/19
2-Nitrophenol	ND		101	53.3	53	101	72.9	72	31* a	45-118/20
4-Nitrophenol	ND		101	66.3	66	101	102	101	42* a	23-144/28
Pentachlorophenol	ND		101	42.0	42	101	71.4	71	52* a	25-151/25
Phenol	ND		101	46.5	46	101	64.4	64	32* a	22-100/22
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND		101	54.5	54	101	85.9	85	45* a	44-122/21

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: SW846 8270D

JC27137-4, JC27137-5, JC27137-6, JC27137-7, JC27137-8, JC27137-9

Compound	JC27137-6 ug/l	Q	Spike ug/l	MS ug/l	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND		101	57.4	57	101	85.9	85	40* a	51-124/20
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND		101	61.3	61	101	91.7	91	40* a	53-120/21
Acenaphthene	ND		101	66.4	66	101	94.3	93	35* a	52-120/23
Acenaphthylene	ND		101	60.9	60	101	87.0	86	35* a	50-101/22
Acetophenone	ND		101	62.0	61	101	86.2	85	33* a	31-141/23
Anthracene	2.0		101	63.9	61	101	95.7	93	40* a	54-117/22
Atrazine	ND		101	53.3	53	101	83.8	83	44* a	42-152/23
Benzaldehyde	ND		101	44.7	44	101	62.5	62	33* a	10-164/30
Benzo(a)anthracene	ND		101	59.3	59	101	91.7	91	43* a	40-123/24
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND		101	63.1	62	101	92.5	92	38* a	41-127/25
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND		101	62.4	62	101	92.6	92	39* a	39-127/27
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND		101	57.3	57	101	85.6	85	40* a	34-128/28
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND		101	58.6	58	101	84.9	84	37* a	39-122/26
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND		101	67.5	67	101	105	104	43* a	51-124/23
Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND		101	58.2	58	101	90.0	89	43* a	21-146/28
1,1'-Biphenyl	ND		101	62.2	62	101	86.4	86	33* a	27-142/23
2-Chloronaphthalene	ND		101	63.5	63	101	89.7	89	34* a	51-109/23
Carbazole	ND		101	63.3	63	101	97.6	97	43* a	52-116/22
Chrysene	ND		101	55.1	55	101	83.8	83	41* a	41-128/24
bis(2-Chloroethoxy) methane	ND		101	62.2	62	101	82.2	81	28* a	46-120/24
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND		101	60.5	60	101	84.5	84	33* a	42-123/28
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether	ND		101	60.4	60	101	91.0	90	40* a	41-117/25
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND		101	70.7	70	101	102	101	36* a	48-121/21
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND		101	69.4	69	101	104	103	40* a	54-123/27
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND		101	68.3	68	101	106	105	43* a	55-125/26
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND		101	58.6	58	101	88.3	87	40* a	35-130/27
Dibenzofuran	ND		101	63.5	63	101	90.8	90	35* a	53-112/22
Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND		101	65.4	65	101	101	100	43* a	38-129/23
Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND		101	62.6	62	101	94.8	94	41* a	35-145/26
Diethyl phthalate	ND		101	66.0	65	101	101	100	42* a	16-136/30
bis(2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate	ND		101	60.0	59	101	88.4	88	38* a	34-141/28
Fluoranthene	ND		101	65.9	65	101	100	99	41* a	47-123/24
Fluorene	ND		101	63.6	63	101	94.0	93	39* a	56-117/22
Hexachlorobenzene	ND		101	66.3	66	101	101	100	41* a	46-125/24
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND		101	45.1	45	101	62.7	62	33* a	26-121/24
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND		202	50.2	25	202	86.7	43	53* a	10-133/31

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: **SW846 8270D**

JC27137-4, JC27137-5, JC27137-6, JC27137-7, JC27137-8, JC27137-9

Compound	JC27137-6 ug/l	Q	Spike ug/l	MS ug/l	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
Hexachloroethane	ND		101	44.1	44	101	63.1	62	35* a	35-111/26
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND		101	62.9	62	101	95.2	94	41* a	32-130/30
Isophorone	ND		101	58.2	58	101	79.7	79	31* a	47-126/23
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		101	49.7	49	101	66.2	66	28* a	34-124/25
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		101	53.7	53	101	74.3	74	32* a	34-123/24
2-Nitroaniline	ND		101	81.8	81	101	122	121	39* a	46-137/23
4-Nitroaniline	ND		101	58.7	58	101	90.7	90	43* a	38-118/25
3 Nitrobenzene	ND		101	58.9	58	101	80.4	80	31* a	35-130/25
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND		101	61.2	61	101	89.1	88	37* a	45-123/22
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND		101	60.9	60	101	94.2	93	43* a	46-123/24
Phenanthrene	ND		101	62.9	62	101	96.6	96	42* a	48-121/23
Pyrene	ND		101	55.7	55	101	84.3	83	41* a	43-124/26
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND		101	51.7	51	101	72.0	71	33* a	25-142/24

\* = Outside of Control Limits.

(a) Analytical precision exceeds in-house control limits.

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: **SW846 8270D BY SIM**

JC27137-1; JC27137-2; JC27137-3

Compound	JC27137-2 ug/l	Q	Spike ug/l	MS ug/l	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
1,4-Dioxane	6.61	E	2.02	5.35	0* a	2.02	4.97	71	0* a	20-160/30

\* = Outside of Control Limits.

(a) Outside control limits due to high level in sample relative to spike amount.

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: **SW846 8270D BY SIM**

JC27137-4, JC27137-5, JC27137-6, JC27137-7, JC27137-8, JC27137-9

Compound	JC27137-3 ug/l	Q	Spike ug/l	MS ug/l	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
1,4-Dioxane	248	E	2.02	319	3515* a	.022	396	7326* a	22	20-160/30

\* = Outside of Control Limits.

(a) Outside control limits due to high level in sample relative to spike amount.

**Note:** MS/MSD % results apply only to unspiked sample. MS/MSD % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits except in the cases described in this document.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

No action taken on samples with MS/MSD % recoveries outside control limits due to high level in sample relative to spike amount.

No action taken on samples with RPD outside control limits, professional judgment.

### Note:

- \* QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- \* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 – 130 %.

### Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J).

If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met  
and/or see below       

### INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

DATE	SAMPLE ID	IS OUT	IS AREA	ACCEPTABLE RANGE	ACTION
------	-----------	--------	---------	---------------------	--------

Internal area meets the required criteria of batch samples corresponding to this data package.

#### Action:

1. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than 213.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration) (see Table 10 below):
  - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated low (J-).
  - b. Do not qualify non-detected associated compounds.
2. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is less than 20.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration):
  - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated high (J+).
  - b. Qualify non-detected associated compounds as unusable (R).
3. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than or equal to 50.0%, and less than or equal to 213% of the area for the associated standard opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration, no qualification of the data is necessary.
4. If an internal standard RT varies by more than 10.0 seconds: Examine the chromatographic profile for that sample to determine if any false positives or negatives exist. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction. Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable (R) if the mass spectral criteria are met.
5. If an internal standard RT varies by less than or equal to 10.0 seconds, no qualification of the data is necessary.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below           

### TARGET COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

#### Criteria:

Is the Relative Retention Times (RRTs) of reported compounds within  $\pm 0.06$  RRT units of the standard RRT [opening Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) or mid-point standard from the initial calibration].  
**Yes? or No?**

List compounds not meeting the criteria described above:

Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
=====	=====	=====
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Mass spectra of the sample compound and a current laboratory-generated standard [i.e., the mass spectrum from the associated calibration standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)] must match according to the following criteria:

- All ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% must be present in the sample spectrum.
- The relative intensities of these ions must agree within  $\pm 20\%$  between the standard and sample spectra (e.g., for an ion with an abundance of 50% in the standard spectrum, the corresponding sample ion abundance must be between 30-70%).
- Ions present at greater than 10% in the sample mass spectrum, but not present in the standard spectrum, must be evaluated by a reviewer experienced in mass spectral interpretation.

List compounds not meeting the criteria described above:

Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
=====	=====	=====
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Identified compounds meet the required criteria

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

### Action:

1. The application of qualitative criteria for GC/MS analysis of target compounds requires professional judgment. It is up to the reviewer's discretion to obtain additional information from the laboratory. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, qualify all such data as unusable (R).
2. Use professional judgment to qualify the data if it is determined that cross-contamination has occurred.
3. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported compounds or concerns regarding target compound identifications. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, the necessity for numerous or significant changes.

### TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS (TICS)

NOTE: Tentatively identified compounds should only be evaluated when requested by a party from outside of the Hazardous Waste Support Section (HWSS).

#### List TICs

Sample ID	Compound	Sample ID	Compound
=====			
_____		_____	
_____		_____	
_____		_____	
_____		_____	

### Action:

1. Qualify all TIC results for which there is presumptive evidence of a match (e.g. greater than or equal to 85% match) as tentatively identified (NJ), with approximated concentrations. TICs labeled "unknown" are qualified as estimated (J).
2. General actions related to the review of TIC results are as follows:
  - a. If it is determined that a tentative identification of a non-target compound is unacceptable, change the tentative identification to "unknown" or another appropriate identification, and qualify the result as estimated (J).
  - b. If all contractually-required peaks were not library searched and quantitated, the Region's designated representative may request these data from the laboratory.
3. In deciding whether a library search result for a TIC represents a reasonable identification, use professional judgment. If there is more than one possible match, report the result as "either compound X or compound Y". If there is a lack of isomer specificity, change the TIC result to a nonspecific isomer result (e.g., 1,3,5-trimethyl benzene to trimethyl benzene isomer) or to a compound class (e.g., 2-methyl, 3-ethyl benzene to a substituted aromatic compound).
4. The reviewer may elect to report all similar compounds as a total (e.g., all alkanes may be summarized and reported as total hydrocarbons).

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

5. Target compounds from other fractions and suspected laboratory contaminants should be marked as "non-reportable".
6. Other Case factors may influence TIC judgments. If a sample TIC match is poor, but other samples have a TIC with a valid library match, similar RRT, and the same ions, infer identification information from the other sample TIC results.
7. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported data or any concerns regarding TIC identifications.
8. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, failure to properly evaluate and report TICs

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met ☒  
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

### SAMPLE QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

#### Action:

1. When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lower CRQL are used unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of higher CRQLs from the diluted sample. Samples reported with an "E" qualifier should be reported from the diluted sample.
2. If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.
3. For non-aqueous samples, if the solids is less than 10.0%, use professional judgment for both detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 10.0% and less than 30.0%, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 30.0%, detects and non-detects should not be qualified (see Table 11).
4. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, numerous or significant failures to accurately quantify the target compounds or to properly evaluate and adjust CRQLs.
5. Results between MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated "J".
6. Results < MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified "U". MDLs themselves should not be reported.

Table 11. Percent Solids Actions for Semivolatile Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria	Action	
	Detects	Non-detects
%Solids < 10.0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
10.0% < %Solids < 30.0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
%Solids > 30.0%	No qualification	No qualification

### SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

Sample ID: JC27137-2 (Scan)           

Analyte: 1,4-dioxane

RF: 0.617

$$\begin{aligned}
 [ ] &= (27569)(40)/(292711)(0.617) \\
 &= 6.1 \text{ ppm Ok}
 \end{aligned}$$

## QUANTITATION LIMITS

[illegible]

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

### FIELD DUPLICATE PRECISION

Sample IDs:   JC27137-2/-3    
 Sample IDs:   JC27137-4/-5  

Matrix:   Groundwater    
 Matrix:   Groundwater  

Field duplicate samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.

Suggested criteria: if large RPD (> 50 %) is observed, confirm identification of the samples and note differences. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

COMPOUND	SQL ug/L	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
Field/laboratory duplicate analyzed as part of this data package. RPD within the required guidance document criteria < 50 % for detected target analytes above 5 SQL.					

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

### OTHER ISSUES

#### A. System Performance

List samples qualified based on the degradation of system performance during sample analysis:

Sample ID	Comments	Actions
=====	=====	=====
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Action:

Use professional judgment to qualify the data if it is determined that system performance has degraded during sample analyses. Inform the Contract Laboratory Program COR any action as a result of degradation of system performance which significantly affected the data.

#### B. Overall Assessment of Data

List samples qualified based on other issues:

Sample ID	Comments	Actions
=====	=====	=====
_No_other_issues_that_required_the_need_to_qualify_the_data._Results_are_valid_and_can_be_used_for_decision_purposes._Other_discrepancies_are_shown_below._____		
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

**Note:**

Action:

1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
2. Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data. Inform the Contract Laboratory COR the action, any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

3. Sometimes, due to dilutions, re-analysis or SIM/Scan runs are being performed, there will be multiple results for a single analyte from a single sample. The following criteria and professional judgment are used to determine which result should be reported:
  - The analysis with the lower CRQL
  - The analysis with the better QC results
  - The analysis with the higher results

## EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No: **JC27137** Laboratory: **Accutest, New Jersey**  
Analysis: **SW846-8081B** Number of Samples: **6**

Location: **BMSMC, Building 5 Area**  
**Humacao, PR**

**SUMMARY:** Six (6) samples were analyzed for selected pesticides following method SW846-8081B. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence *Hazardous Waste Support Section SOP No. HW-36A, Revision 0, June, 2015. SOM02.2. Pesticide Data Validation*. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

**Critical issues:** **None**  
**Major:** **None**  
**Minor:** **None**

**Critical findings:** **None**  
**Major findings:** **None**  
**Minor findings:**

1. All samples extracted and analyzed within the required criteria except in the cases described in the Data Review Worksheet. No action taken. Samples extracted outside holding time due to BS outside in house QC limits; originally prep date was within holding time.

2. Initial and initial calibration verification within the guidance document performance criteria. Continuing calibration % differences meet the performance criteria in at least one of the two columns. Final calibration verification not included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.


3. Blank spike analyzed for aqueous matrix. % recoveries within laboratory control limits. Blank spike analyzed for sample batch JC27137-7; JC27137-8; and JC27137-9 outside in house control limits not included in data package. Samples extracted outside holding time and reanalyzed. No action taken.

4. In sample JC27137-6 the following analytes: alpha-Chlordane; beta-BHC; Heptachlor epoxide; Endrin aldehyde; gamma-Chlordane; and 4,4'-DDT showed more than 40 % RPD for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. No action taken, professional judgment. Second column used for confirmation.

**COMMENTS:** Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

**Reviewers Name:** **Rafael Infante**  
**Chemist License 1888**

**Signature:**



**Date:** **October 3, 2016**

# **SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY**

Sample ID: JC27137-4  
 Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
 Sampling date: 5-Sep-16  
 Matrix: Groundwater

## **METHOD: 8081B**

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-I	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	0.13	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC27137-5  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 5-Sep-16  
Matrix: Groundwater

**METHOD: 8081B**

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-I	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.0050	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	0.13	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC27137-6  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 5-Sep-16  
Matrix: Groundwater

**METHOD: 8081B**

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.0051	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.0051	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.0052	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
delta-BHC	0.0093	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.0051	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.0059	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.0055	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Dieldrin	0.013	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.021	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.0083	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.021	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Endrin	0.018	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.030	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.030	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.027	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Endosulfan-I	0.0045	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.027	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Heptachlor	0.0051	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.0053	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.031	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Toxaphene	0.13	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC27137-7  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 6-Sep-16  
Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

**METHOD: 8081B**

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-I	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.020	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	0.25	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC27137-8  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 6-Sep-16  
Matrix: Groundwater

**METHOD: 8081B**

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-I	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.010	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.020	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	0.25	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC27137-9  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 6-Sep-16  
Matrix: Groundwater

**METHOD: 8081B**

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-I	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.022	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	0.27	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Project/Case Number: JC27137  
 Sampling Date: 09/02-06/2016  
 Shipping Date: 09/06/2016  
 EPA Region No.: 2

## REVIEW OF PESTICIDE ORGANIC PACKAGE

The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics were created to delineate required validation actions. This document will assist the reviewer in using professional judgment to make more informed decision and in better serving the needs of the data users. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence *Hazardous Waste Support Section SOP No. HW-36A, Revision 0, June, 2015. SOM02.2. Pesticide Data Validation*. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

The hardcopied (laboratory name) Accutest data package received has been reviewed and the quality control and performance data summarized. The data review for VOCs included:

Lab. Project/SDG No.: JC27137 Sample matrix: Groundwater  
 No. of Samples: 6

Trip blank No.: -  
 Field blank No.: -  
 Equipment blank No.: JC27137-7  
 Field duplicate No.: JC27137-4/JC27137-5  
 Field spikes No.: -  
 QC audit samples: -

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data Completeness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Laboratory Control Spikes
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Holding Times	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Field Duplicates
<input type="checkbox"/> N/A GC/MS Tuning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Calibrations
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal Standard Performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Identifications
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Blanks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Quantitation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surrogate Recoveries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quantitation Limits
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	

Overall Comments: TCL\_pesticides\_list\_by\_SW846-8081B

### Definition of Qualifiers:

J- Estimated results  
 U- Compound not detected  
 R- Rejected data  
 UJ- Estimated nondetect

Reviewer: Rafael Defaut  
 Date: October 6, 2016

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

## DATA COMPLETENESS

### MISSING INFORMATION

DATE LAB. CONTACTED

DATE RECEIVED

[illegible]

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X  
Criteria were not met \_\_\_\_\_  
and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

### HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED/ANALYZED	ACTION
Samples properly preserved.			
JC27137-7	09/06/15	09/15/16	No action
JC27137-8	09/06/16	09/15/16	No action
JC27137-9	09/06/16	09/15/16	No action

Preservatives: All samples extracted and analyzed within the required criteria except in the cases described in this document.

**Note:** No action taken. Samples extracted outside holding time due to BS outside in house QC limits; originally prep date was within holding time.

### Criteria

Aqueous samples - seven (7) days from sample collection for extraction; 40 days from sample collection for analysis.

Non-aqueous samples – fourteen (14) days from sample collection for extraction; 40 days from sample collection for analysis.

Cooler temperature (Criteria:  $4 \pm 2$  °C): 5.2°C - OK

### Actions

**Qualify aqueous sample results using preservation and technical holding time information as follows:**

- If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved ( $T = 4^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), and the samples were extracted or analyzed within the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved ( $T = 4^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), and the samples were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted and analyzed within the technical holding times, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ). Note in the Data

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Review Narrative that holding times were exceeded and the effect of exceeding the holding time on the resulting data.

- e. Use professional judgment to qualify samples whose temperature upon receipt at the laboratory is either below 2 degrees centigrade or above 6 degrees centigrade.
- f. If technical holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

### **Qualify non-aqueous sample results using preservation and technical holding time information as follows:**

- a. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved ( $T = 4^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), and the samples were extracted or analyzed within the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- b. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved ( $T = 4^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), and the samples were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- c. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted and analyzed within the technical holding time, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ). Note in the Data Review Narrative that holding times were exceeded and the effect of exceeding the holding time on the resulting data.
- e. Use professional judgment to qualify samples whose temperature upon receipt at the laboratory is either below 2 degrees centigrade or above 6 degrees centigrade.
- f. If technical holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X  
Criteria were not met see below \_\_\_\_\_

### GAS CHROMATOGRAPH WITH ELECTRON CAPTURE DETECTOR (GC/ECD) INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK (SECTIONS 1 TO 5)

#### 1. Resolution Check Mixture

##### Criteria

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture C greater than or equal to 80.0% for all analytes for the primary column and greater than or equal to 50.0% for the confirmation column? Yes? or No?

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture (A and B) greater than or equal to 60.0%? Yes? or No?

Note: If resolution criteria are not met, the quantitative results may not be accurate due to inadequate resolution. Qualitative identifications may also be questionable if coelution exists.

##### Action

- a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified (NJ).
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

#### 2. Performance Evaluation Mixture (PEM) Resolution Criteria

##### Criteria

Is PEM analysis performed at the required frequency (at the end of each pesticide initial calibration sequence and every 12 hours)? Yes? or No?

##### Action

- a. If PEM is not performed at the required frequency, qualify all associated sample and blank results as unusable (R).

##### Criteria

Is PEM % Resolution < 90%? Yes? or No?

##### Action

- a. a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified (NJ).
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met see below       

### 3. PEM 4,4'-DDT Breakdown

#### Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is detected? Yes? or No?

#### Action

a. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDT; detects for 4,4'-DDD; and detects for 4,4'-DDE as estimated (J)

#### Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is not detected Yes? or No?

#### Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for 4,4'- DDT as unusable (R )
- b. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDD as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDE as tentatively identified (NJ)

### 4. PEM Endrin Breakdown

#### Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is detected? Yes? or No?

#### Action

a. Qualify detects for Endrin; detects for Endrin aldehyde; and detects for Endrin ketone as estimated (J)

#### Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is not detected Yes? or No?

#### Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for Endrin as unusable (R )
- b. Qualify detects for Endrin aldehyde as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for Endrin ketone as tentatively identified (NJ)

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X  
Criteria were not met see below       

### 5. Mid-point Individual Standard Mixture Resolution -

#### Criteria

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture C greater than or equal to 80.0% for all analytes for the primary column and greater than or equal to 50.0% for the confirmation column?  
Yes? or No?

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture (A and B) greater than or equal to 90.0%?  
Yes? or No?

Note: If resolution criteria are not met, the quantitative results may not be accurate due to inadequate resolution. Qualitative identifications may also be questionable if coelution exists.

#### Action

- a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified (NJ).
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

#### Criteria

Is mid-point individual standard mixture analysis performed at the required frequency (every 12 hours)?  
Yes? or No?

#### Action

- a. If the mid-point individual standard mixture analysis is not performed at the required frequency, qualify all associated sample and blank results as unusable (R).

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below           

### CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:                     08/29/16                      
 Dates of initial calibration verification:                     08/29/16                      
 Dates of continuing calibration:                     09/14/16;\_09/16/16                      
 Dates of final calibration                     -                      
 Instrument ID numbers:                     GC1G                      
 Matrix/Level:                     Aqueous/low                    

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial and initial calibration verification within the guidance document performance criteria. Continuing calibration % differences meet the performance criteria in at least one of the two columns. Final calibration verification not included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.					

#### Criteria

Are a five point calibration curve delivered with concentration levels as shown in Table 3 of SOP HW-36A, Revision 0, June, 2015? Yes? or No?

#### Actions

If the standard concentrations listed in Table 3 are not used, use professional judgment to evaluate the effect on the data

#### Criteria

Are RT Windows calculated correctly? Yes? or No?

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X  
Criteria were not met  
and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

### Action

Recalculate the windows and use the corrected values for all evaluations.

### Criteria

Are the Percent Relative Standard Deviation (%RSD) of the CFs for each of the single component target compounds less than or equal to 20.0%, except for alpha-BHC and delta-BHC?

Yes? or No?

Are the %RSD of the CFs for alpha-BHC and delta-BHC less than or equal to 25.0%. Yes? or No?

Is the %RSD of the CFs for each of the Toxaphene peaks must be < 30% when 5-point ICAL is performed?

Yes? or No?

Is the %RSD of the CFs for the two surrogates (tetrachloro-m-xylene and decachlorobiphenyl) less than or equal to 30.0%.

Yes? or No?

### Action

- If the %RSD criteria are not met, qualify detects as estimated (J) and use professional judgment to qualify non-detected target compounds.
- If the %RSD criteria are within allowable limits, no qualification of the data is necessary

### Continuing Calibration Checks

#### Criteria

Is the continuing calibration standard analyzed at the acceptable time intervals? Yes? or No?

#### Action

- If more than 14 hours has elapsed from the injection of the instrument blank that begins an analytical sequence (opening CCV) and the injection of either a PEM or mid-point concentration of the Individual Standard Mixtures (A and B) or (C), qualify all data as unusable (R).
- If more than 12 hours has elapsed from the injection of the instrument blank that begins an analytical sequence (opening CCV) and the injection of the last sample or blank that is part of the same analytical sequence, qualify all data as unusable (R).
- If more than 72 hours has elapsed from the injection of the sample with a Toxaphene detection and the Toxaphene Calibration Verification Standard (CS3), qualify all data as unusable (R).

#### Criteria

Is the Percent Difference (%D) within  $\pm 25.0\%$  for the PEM sample?

Yes? or No?

#### Action

- Qualify associated detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

### Criteria

For the Calibration Verification Standard (CS3); is the Percent Difference (%D) within  $\pm 25.0\%$ ?  
Yes? or **No**?

### Action

Qualify associated detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).

### Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown  $>20.0\%$  and 4,4'-DDT is detected? Yes? or **No**?

### Action

- a. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDT; detects for 4,4'-DDD; and detects for 4,4'-DDE as estimated (J)
- b. Non-detected associated compounds are not qualified

### Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown  $>20.0\%$  and 4,4'-DDT is not detected? Yes? or **No**?

### Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for 4,4'- DDT as unusable (R )
- b. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDD as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDE as tentatively identified (NJ)

### Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown  $>20.0\%$  and Endrin is detected? Yes? or **No**?

### Action

- a. Qualify detects for Endrin; detects for Endrin aldehyde; and detects for Endrin ketone as estimated (J)
- b. Non-detected associated compounds are not qualified

### Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown  $>20.0\%$  and Endrin is not detected? Yes? or **No**?

### Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for Endrin as unusable (R )
- b. Qualify detects for Endrin aldehyde as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for Endrin ketone as tentatively identified (NJ)

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below           

## BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

CRQL concentration           N/A          

### Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
<u>No target analytes detected in method blanks at a reporting limit of 0.01, 0.02, and 0.25 ug/L</u>				

### Field/Equipment/Trip blank

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
<u>No target analytes detected in the equipment blank. No field/trip blanks analyzed with this data package.</u>				

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below       

### BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

#### Blank Actions

Action Levels (ALs) should be based upon the highest concentration of contaminant determined in any blank. Do not qualify any blank with another blank. The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. No positive sample results should be reported unless the concentration of the compound in the samples exceeds the ALs:

The concentration of non-target compounds in all blanks must be less than or equal to 10 µg/L. The concentration of each target compound found in the method or field blanks must be less than its CRQL listed in the method.

Data concerning the field blanks are not evaluated as part of the CCS process. If field blanks are present, the data reviewer should evaluate this data in a similar fashion as the method blanks.

Specific actions are as follows:

#### Blank Actions for Pesticide Analyses

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action for Samples
Method, Sulfur Cleanup, Instrument, Field, TCLP/SPLP	Detects	Not detected	No qualification required
	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
		≥ CRQL	No qualification required
	> CRQL	< CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
		≥ CRQL and ≤ blank concentration	Report blank value for sample concentration with a U
		≥ CRQL and > blank concentration	No qualification required
	= CRQL	≤ CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
		> CRQL	No qualification required
	Gross contamination	Detects	Report blank value for sample concentration with a U

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below       

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met \_\_\_\_\_  
 Criteria were not met \_\_\_\_\_  
 and/or see below   X  

## SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

Matrix: Aqueous

Lab Sample ID	Lab File ID	S1 a	S1 b	S2 a	S2 b
JC27137-4	1G127313.D	63	66	61	54
JC27137-5	1G127316.D	83	94	75	71
JC27137-6	1G127317.D	79	77	75	71
JC27137-7	1G127318.D	101	103	63	57
JC27137-7	1G127283.D	99	90	68	56
JC27137-8	1G127319.D	71	60	75	60
JC27137-8	1G127284.D	80	72	90	76
JC27137-9	1G127320.D	56	63	56	54
JC27137-9	1G127285.D	73	67	68	64
OP96898-BS1	1G127161.D	53	51	41	39
OP96898-MB1	1G127160.D	42	43	34	32
OP96898-MS	1G127314.D	47	52	37	33
OP96898-MSD	1G127315.D	59	65	52	46
OP97040-BS1	1G127277.D	39	36	55	44
OP97040-MB1	1G127276.D	81	77	64	51
OP97040-MS	1G127280.D	73	70	50	41
OP97040-MSD	1G127281.D	74	70	52	43

Surrogate Compounds

Recovery Limits

S1 = Tetrachloro-m-xylene

26-132%

S2 = Decachlorobiphenyl

10-118%

(a) Recovery from GC signal #1

(b) Recovery from GC signal #2

**Note:** Surrogate recoveries within laboratory control limits in the two columns.

Actions:

- For any surrogate recovery greater than 150%, qualify detected target compounds as biased high (J+).
- Do not qualify non-detected target compounds for surrogate recovery > 150 %.
- If both surrogate recoveries are greater than or equal to 30% and less than or equal to 150%, no qualification of the data is necessary.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

- d. For any surrogate recovery greater than or equal to 10% and less than 30%, qualify detected target compounds as biased low (J-).
- e. For any surrogate recovery greater than or equal to 10% and less than 30%, qualify non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).
- f. If low surrogate recoveries are from sample dilution, professional judgment should be used to determine if the resulting data should be qualified. If sample dilution is not a factor:
  - i. Qualify detected target compounds as biased low (J-).
  - ii. Qualify non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).
- g. If surrogate RTs in PEMs, Individual Standard Mixtures, samples, and blanks are outside of the RT Windows, the reviewer must use professional judgment to qualify data.
- h. If surrogate RTs are within RT windows, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- i. If the two surrogates were not added to all samples, MS/MSDs, standards, LCSs, and blanks, use professional judgment in qualifying data as missing surrogate analyte may not directly apply to target analytes.

### Summary Surrogate Actions for Pesticide Analyses

Criteria	Action*	
	Detected Target Compounds	Non-detected Target Compounds
%R > 150%	J+	No qualification
30% < %R < 150%	No qualification	
10% < %R < 30%	J-	UJ
%R < 10% (sample dilution not a factor)	J-	R
%R < 10% (sample dilution is a factor)	Use professional judgment	
RT out of RT window	Use professional judgment	
RT within RT window	No qualification	

- \* Use professional judgment in qualifying data, as surrogate recovery problems may not directly apply to target analytes.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met  
and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

### MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

#### 1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the Region.

Notify the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if a field blank was used for the MS and MSD, unless designated as such by the Region.

**NOTE:** For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:   JC27137-4MS/MSD  

Matrix/Level:   Groundwater  

Sample ID:   JC27497-1MS/MSD  

Matrix/Level:   Groundwater  

**Note:** MS/MSD sample analyzed with this data package. % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits.

#### Action

No qualification of the data is necessary on MS and MSD data alone. However, using professional judgment, the validator may use the MS and MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data.

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X  
Criteria were not met  
and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

### LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

**This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.**

## 1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

LCS Spike Compound	Recovery Limits (%)
gamma-BHC	50 – 120
Heptachlor epoxide	50 – 150
Dieldrin	30 – 130
4,4'-DDE	50 – 150
Endrin	50 – 120
Endosulfan sulfate	50 – 120
trans-Chlordane	30 – 130
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surrogate)	30 – 150
Decachlorobiphenyl (surrogate)	30 – 150

LCS concentrations: 0.25 ug/l;

**List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria**

LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT

## Action

The following guidance is suggested for qualifying sample data for which the associated LCS does not meet the required criteria.

- a. If the LCS recovery exceeds the upper acceptance limit, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.
- b. If the LCS recovery is less than the lower acceptance limit, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).
- c. Use professional judgment to qualify data for compounds other than those compounds that are included in the LCS.
- d. Use professional judgment to qualify non-LCS compounds. Take into account the compound class, compound recovery efficiency, analytical problems associated with each compound, and comparability in the performance of the LCS compound to the non-LCS compound.
- e. If the LCS recovery is within allowable limits, no qualification of the data is necessary.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

### 2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? Yes or No.

If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

**Note:** Blank spike analyzed for aqueous matrix. % recoveries within laboratory control limits. Blank spike analyzed for sample batch JC27137-7; JC27137-8; and JC27137-9 outside in house control limits not included in data package. Samples extracted outside holding time and reanalyzed. No action taken.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met \_\_\_\_\_  
Criteria were not met \_\_\_\_\_  
and/or see below N/A \_\_\_\_\_

### FLORISIL CARTRIDGE PERFORMANCE CHECK

NOTE: Florisil cartridge cleanup is mandatory for all extracts.

#### Criteria

Is the Florisil cartridge performance check conducted at least once on each lot of cartridges used for sample cleanup or every 6 months, whichever is most frequent? Yes? or No?

#### Criteria

Are the results for the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check solution included with the data package? Yes? or No?

Note: If % criteria are not met, examine the raw data for the presence of polar interferences and use professional judgment in qualifying the data as follows:

#### Action:

- a. If the Percent Recovery is greater than 120% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.
- b. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 80% and less than or equal to 120% for all the pesticide target compounds, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- c. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 10% and less than 80% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J) and non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).
- d. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J) and qualify non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).
- e. If the Percent Recovery of 2,4,5-trichlorophenol in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check is greater than or equal to 5%, use professional judgment to qualify detected and non-detected target compounds, considering interference on the sample chromatogram.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the sample data resulting from the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check analysis not yielding acceptable results.

Note: No information for florisil cartridge performance check included in data package. There is evidence tahtFlorisil cartridge was used for sample extraction/clean-up. No qualification of the data performed, professional judgment.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   N/A    
Criteria were not met  
and/or see below           

### GEL PERMEATION CHROMATOGRAPHY (GPC) PERFORMANCE CHECK

**NOTE:** GPC cleanup is mandatory for all soil samples.

If GPC criteria are not met, examine the raw data for the presence of high molecular weight contaminants; examine subsequent sample data for unusual peaks; and use professional judgment in qualifying the data. Notify the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the laboratory chooses to analyze samples under unacceptable GPC criteria.

**Action:**

- a. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for the pesticide compounds and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, the non-detected target compounds may be suspect, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J).
- b. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for the pesticide compounds and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, qualify all non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).
- c. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 10% and is less than 80% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the GPC calibration, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J) and non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).
- d. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 80% and less than or equal to 120% for all the pesticide target compounds, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- e. If high recoveries (i.e., greater than 120%) were obtained for the pesticides and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.

**Note:** State in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the sample data resulting from the GPC cleanup analyses not yielding acceptable results.

**Note:**    No information for performance of GPC cleanup included in data package. No qualification of the data performed, professional judgment.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met  
and/or see below           

### TARGET COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

#### Criteria:

1. Is Retention Times (RTs) of both of the surrogates and reported target compounds in each sample within the calculated RT Windows on both columns? Yes? or No?
2. Is the Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCX) RT  $\pm 0.05$  minutes of the Mean RT (RT) determined from the initial calibration and Decachlorobiphenyl (DCB) within  $\pm 0.10$  minutes of the RT determined from the initial calibration? Yes? or No?
3. Is the Percent Difference (%D) for the detected mean concentrations of a pesticide target compound between the two Gas Chromatograph (GC) columns within the inclusive range of  $\pm 25.0$  %? Yes? or No?
4. When no analytes are identified in a sample; are the chromatograms from the analyses of the sample extract and the low-point standard of the initial calibration associated with those analyses on the same scaling factor? Yes? or No?
5. Does the chromatograms display the Single Component Pesticides (SCPs) detected in the sample and the largest peak of any multi-component analyte detected in the sample at less than full scale. Yes? or No?
6. If an extract is diluted; does the chromatogram display SCPs peaks between 10-100% of full scale, and multi-component analytes between 25-100% of full scale? Yes? or No?
7. For any sample; does the baseline of the chromatogram return to below 50% of full scale before the elution time of alpha-BHC, and also return to below 25% of full scale after the elution time of alpha-BHC and before the elution time of DCB? Yes? or No?
8. If a chromatogram is replotted electronically to meet these requirements; is the scaling factor used displayed on the chromatogram, and both the initial chromatogram and the replotted chromatogram submitted in the data package. Yes? or No?

#### Action:

- a. If the qualitative criteria for both columns were not met, all target compounds that are reported as detected should be considered non-detected.
- b. Use professional judgment to assign an appropriate quantitation limit using the following guidance:
  - i. If the detected target compound peak was sufficiently outside the pesticide RT Window, the reported values may be a false positive and should be replaced with the sample Contract Required Quantitation Limits (CRQL) value.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

- ii. If the detected target compound peak poses an interference with potential detection of another target peak, the reported value should be considered and qualified as unusable (R).

c. If the data reviewer identifies a peak in both GC column analyses that falls within the appropriate RT Windows, but was reported as a non-detect, the compound may be a false negative. Use professional judgment to decide if the compound should be included.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative all conclusions made regarding target compound identification.

d. If the Toxaphene peak RT windows determined from the calibration overlap with SCPs or chromatographic interferences, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

e. If target compounds were detected on both GC columns, and the Percent Difference between the two results is greater than 25.0%, consider the potential for coelution and use professional judgment to decide whether a much larger concentration obtained on one column versus the other indicates the presence of an interfering compound. If an interfering compound is indicated, use professional judgment to determine how best to report, and if necessary, qualify the data according to these guidelines.

f. If Toxaphene exhibits a marginal pattern-matching quality, use professional judgment to establish whether the differences are due to environmental "weathering" (i.e., degradation of the earlier eluting peaks relative to the later eluting peaks). If the presence of Toxaphene is strongly suggested, report results as presumptively present (N).

## GAS CHROMATOGRAPH/MASS SPECTROMETER (GC/MS) CONFIRMATION

NOTE: This confirmation is not usually provided by the laboratory. In cases where it is provided, use professional judgment to determine if data qualified with "C" can be salvaged if it was previously qualified as unusable (R).

### Action:

a. If the quantitative criteria for both columns were met ( $\geq 5.0$  ng/ $\mu$ L for SCPs and  $\geq 125$  ng/ $\mu$ L for Toxaphene), determine whether GC/MS confirmation was performed. If it was performed, qualify the data using the following guidance:

- i. If GC/MS confirmation was not required because the quantitative criteria for both columns was not met, but it was still performed, use professional judgment when evaluating the data to decide whether the detect should be qualified with "C".
- ii. If GC/MS confirmation was performed, but unsuccessful for a target compound detected by GC/ECD analysis, qualify those detects as "X".

All criteria were met X  
Criteria were not met  
and/or see below

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

[ ] = (6822894)(50)/(162.1 X 10<sup>6</sup>)(0.866)  
= 2.43 ppb Ok

**No action taken, professional judgment. Second column used for confirmation.**

- a. If sample quantitation is different from the reported value, qualify result as unusable (R).
- b. When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQLs from the diluted sample.
- c. Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" and its corresponding value on the original reporting form and substituting the data from the diluted sample.
- d. Results between the MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated (J).
- e. Results less than the MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified (U). MDLs themselves are not reported.
- f. For non-aqueous samples, if the percent moisture is less than 70.0%, no qualification of the data is necessary. If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 70.0% and less than 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as approximated (UJ). If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R) (see Table).

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

### Percent Moisture Actions for Pesticide Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria	Action	
	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-detected Associated Compounds
% Moisture < 70.0	No qualification	
70.0 < % Moisture < 90.0	J	UJ
% Moisture > 90.0	J	R

**List samples which have < 50 % solids**

[illegible]

**Note:** If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.

Dilution performed

[illegible]

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met ☒ X  
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

### FIELD DUPLICATE PRECISION

**NOTE:** In the absence of QAPP guidance for validating data from field duplicates, the following action will be taken.

Field duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples. Identify which samples within the data package are field duplicates. Estimate the relative percent difference (RPD) between the values for each compound. If large RPDs (> 50%) is observed, confirm identification of samples and note difference in the executive summary.

Sample IDs:   JC27137-4/JC27137-5  

Matrix:   Groundwater  

COMPOUND	SQL ug/L	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
Field/laboratory duplicate analyzed with this data package. RPD within the required criteria of < 50 %.					

#### Actions:

a. Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.

b. If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions apply:

- i. If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).
- ii. If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.
- iii. If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.
- iv. If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

### OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF DATA

**Action:**

1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
2. Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data.

**Note:** The Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) must be informed if any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).

**Overall assessment of the data:** Results are valid; the data can be used for decision making purposes.

## EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No: **JC27137** Laboratory: **Accutest, Florida**  
Analysis: **SW846-8015C** Number of Samples: **9**  
Location: **BMSMC, Building 5 Area**  
**Humacao, PR**

**SUMMARY:** Nine (9) samples were analyzed for the low molecular weight alcohols (LMWAs) list following method SW846-8015C. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods SW-846 (Final Update III, December 1996)," specifically for Methods 8000/8015C are utilized. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

**Critical issues:** **None**  
**Major:** **None**  
**Minor:** **None**

**Critical findings:** **None**  
**Major findings:** **None**  
**Minor findings:** **None**

**COMMENTS:** Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

**Reviewers Name:** **Rafael Infante**  
**Chemist License 1888**

**Signature:**



**Date:** **October 3, 2016**

## SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC27137-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/2/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

### METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
sec-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	200	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC27137-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/2/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

### METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
sec-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	200	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC27137-3

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 9/2/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

### METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
sec-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	200	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC27137-4  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 9/5/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
sec-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	200	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC27137-5  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 9/5/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
sec-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	200	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC27137-6  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 9/5/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
sec-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	200	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC27137-7  
 Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
 Sampling date: 9/6/2016  
 Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

**METHOD: 8015C**

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
sec-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	200	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC27137-8  
 Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
 Sampling date: 9/6/2016  
 Matrix: Groundwater

**METHOD: 8015C**

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
sec-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	200	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC27137-9  
 Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
 Sampling date: 9/6/2016  
 Matrix: Groundwater

**METHOD: 8015C**

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
sec-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	200	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Project Number: JC27137  
 Date: 09/02-06/2016  
 Shipping Date: 09/06/2016  
 EPA Region: 2

## REVIEW OF VOLATILE ORGANIC PACKAGE

The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics were created to delineate required validation actions. This document will assist the reviewer in using professional judgment to make more informed decision and in better serving the needs of the data users. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods SW-846 (Final Update III, December 1996)," specifically for Methods 8000/8015C are utilized. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

The hardcopied (laboratory name) Accutest data package received has been reviewed and the quality control and performance data summarized. The modified data review for VOCs included:

Lab. Project/SDG No.: JC27137 Sample matrix: Groundwater  
 No. of Samples: 9

Trip blank No.: -  
 Field blank No.: -  
 Equipment blank No.: JC27137-7  
 Field duplicate No.: JC27137 JC27137-2/ JC27137-3; JC27137-4/ JC27137-5

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data Completeness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Laboratory Control Spikes
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Holding Times	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Field Duplicates
<input type="checkbox"/> N/A GC/MS Tuning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Calibrations
<input type="checkbox"/> N/A Internal Standard Performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Identifications
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Blanks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Quantitation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surrogate Recoveries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quantitation Limits
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	

Overall Comments: Low\_molecular\_weight\_alcohols\_by\_SW-846\_8015C

### Definition of Qualifiers:

J- Estimated results  
 U- Compound not detected  
 R- Rejected data  
 UJ- Estimated nondetect

Reviewer: Rafael Defaut  
 Date: October 3, 2016

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

## DATA COMPLETENESS

### MISSING INFORMATION

DATE LAB. CONTACTED

DATE RECEIVED

[illegible]

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X  
Criteria were not met  
and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

### HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE ANALYZED	pH	ACTION
All samples analyzed within the recommended method holding. All samples properly preserved.				

### Criteria

Aqueous samples – 14 days from sample collection for preserved samples ( $\text{pH} \leq 2$ ,  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), no air bubbles.

Aqueous samples – 7 days from sample collection for unpreserved samples,  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ , no air bubbles.

Soil samples- 7 days from sample collection.

Cooler temperature (Criteria:  $4 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ):  $5.2^{\circ}\text{C}$

### Actions

If the VOCs vial(s) have air bubbles, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If the % solids of soil samples is 10-50%, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ)

If the % solid of soil samples is  $< 10\%$ , estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If holding times are exceeded but  $< 14$  days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If holding times are exceeded but  $< 28$  days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If holding times are grossly exceeded ( $> 28$  days beyond criteria), reject all results (R).

If samples were not iced or if the ice were melted ( $> 10^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   N/A    
Criteria were not met see below           

### GC/MS TUNING

The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits

  N/A   The BFB performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.

  N/A   BFB tuning was performed for every 12 hours of sample analysis.

If no, use professional judgment to determine whether the associated data should be accepted, qualified or rejected.

List the samples affected: \_\_\_\_\_

If mass calibration is in error, all associated data are rejected.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X  
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

### CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration: 08/12/16  
 Dates of continuing calibration: 08/12/16; 09/08/16;  
 Dates of final calibration verification: 09/08/16  
 Instrument ID number: GCGH  
 Matrix/Level: Aqueous/low

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED

**Note:** Initial, continuing, and final calibration verifications meets method specific criteria in at least one of the two columns. The other column used for confirmation only.

#### Criteria

All RFs must be  $> 0.05$  regardless of method requirements for SPCC.

All %RSD must be  $\leq 15\%$  regardless of method requirements for CCC.

All %Ds must be  $\leq 20\%$  regardless of method requirements for CCC.

It should be noted that Region 2 SOP HW-24 does not specify criterion for the curve correlation coefficient (r). A limit for r of  $\geq 0.995$  has therefore been utilized as professional judgment.

#### Actions

If any compound has an initial RF or a continuing RF of  $< 0.05$ , estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R), regardless of method requirements.

If any compound has a %RSD  $> 15\%$ , estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects.

If any compound has a %RSD  $> 90\%$ , estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has a %D  $> 20\%$ , estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has a %D  $> 20\%$ , estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If any compound has a %D  $> 90\%$ , estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has  $r < 0.995$ , estimate positive results and nondetects.

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X  
Criteria were not met  
and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

**V A. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)**

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

**List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.**

### Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
All_method_blank_meeth_method_specific_criteria				

**Field/Equipment/Trip blank**

[illegible]

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below       

### V B. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

#### Blank Actions

Action Levels (ALs) should be based upon the highest concentration of contaminant determined in any blank. Do not qualify any blank with another blank. The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. No positive sample results should be reported unless the concentration of the compound in the samples exceeds the ALs:

ALs = 10x the amount of common contaminants (methylene chloride, acetone, 2-butanone, and toluene)

ALs = 5x for any other compounds

Specific actions are as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and  $\leq$  AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is  $\geq$  SQL but  $\leq$  AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is  $\geq$  SQL and > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

Notes:

High and low level blanks must be treated separately

Compounds qualified "U" for blank contamination are still considered "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met ☒   
 Criteria were not met   
 and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

## SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

Matrix: solid/aqueous

SAMPLE ID	SURROGATE COMPOUND				ACTION
	Hexanol	DBFM	TOL-d8	BFB	

All surrogate recoveries within laboratory control limits. \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

### Note:

QC Limits\* (Aqueous)

\_\_\_\_\_ LL to UL \_\_\_\_\_ 56 to 145 \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

QC Limits\* (Solid-Low)

\_\_\_\_\_ LL to UL \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

QC Limits\* (Solid-Med)

\_\_\_\_\_ LL to UL \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

1,2-DCA = 1,2-Dichloromethane-d4

TOL-d8 = Toluene-d8

DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane

BFB = Bromofluorobenzene

\* QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.

\* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 80 – 120 % for aqueous and 70 – 130 % for solid samples.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < 10%	%R = 10% - LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J	J
Nondetects results	R	UJ	Accept

Surrogate action should be applied:

If one or more surrogate in the VOC fraction is out of specification, but has a recovery of > 10%.

If any one surrogate in a fraction shows < 10 % recovery.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

### VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

#### 1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:   JC27137-1MS-MSD   Matrix/Level:   Groundwater/low  

MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
<u>  MS/MSD % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits.  </u>					

- \* QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- \* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 – 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below       

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J).

If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

## VII. B MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

### MS/MSD – Unspiked Compounds

It should be noted that Region 2 SOP HW-24 does not specify a MS/MSD criteria for the unspiked compounds in the sample. A %RSD of < 50% has therefore been utilized as professional judgment.

If all target analytes were spiked in the MS/MSD, this review element is not applicable.

List the %RSD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID: \_\_\_\_\_ - \_\_\_\_\_ Matrix/Level/Unit: \_\_\_\_\_ - \_\_\_\_\_

COMPOUND	SAMPLE CONC.	MS CONC.	MSD CONC.	% RSD	ACTION

Actions:

\* If the % RSD > 50, qualify the positive result in the unspiked samples as estimated (J).

\* If the % RSD is not calculated (NC) due to nondetected value, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met ☒   
 Criteria were not met   
 and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

## VIII. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

### 1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

Where LCS spiked with the same analyte at the same concentrations as the MS/MSD? **Yes** or No. If no make note in data review memo.

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT
Recoveries within laboratory control limits.			

#### Note:

- \* QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- \* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 – 130 %.

#### Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

All analytes in the associated sample results are qualified for the following criteria.

If 25 % of the LCS recoveries were < LL (or 70 %), qualify all positive results (j) and reject nondetects (R).

If two or more LCS were below 10 %, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R).

### 2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? Yes or No.

If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below           

### IX. FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION

Sample IDs:   JC27137-2/ JC27137-3    
 Sample IDs:   JC27137-4/ JC27137-5  

Matrix:   Groundwater    
 Matrix:   Groundwater  

Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.

Suggested criteria: RPD  $\pm$  30% for aqueous samples, RPD  $\pm$  50 % for solid samples. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
Laboratory/field duplicates analyzed with this data package. RPD within laboratory, generally acceptable and guidance document performance criteria control limits.					

#### Actions:

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.

If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions apply:

If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met NA  
Criteria were not met  
and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

## X. INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

- \* Area of +100% or -50% of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.  
\* Retention time (RT) within 30 seconds of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.

DATE	SAMPLE ID	IS OUT	IS AREA	ACCEPTABLE RANGE	ACTION
------	-----------	--------	---------	------------------	--------

*[The page contains faint horizontal lines, suggesting it was part of a ruled document.]*

**Actions:**

- 1. IS actions should be applied to the compound quantitated with the out-of-control ISs**

QUALITY	IS AREA < -25%	IS AREA = -25 % TO - 50%	IS AREA > + 100%
Positive results	J	J	J
Nondetected results	R	UJ	ACCEPT

2. If a IS retention time varies more than 30 seconds, the chromatographic profile for that sample must be examined to determine if any false positive or negative exists. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for the sample fraction.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met  
and/or see below       

### XII. SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

JC27137-1MS

Methanol

RF = 13.99

$$[ ] = (70440)/(13.99)$$

$$= 5,035 \text{ ppm OK}$$

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met  
and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

**A. Dilution performed**

[illegible]

List samples which have  $\leq 50\%$  solids

---

---

---

---

---

---

If the % solids of a soil sample is 10-50%, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ)

If the % solids of a soil sample is  $< 10\%$ , estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R)